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ABSTRACT

This study aims to provide a preliminary account of the morphosyntax of nominalization in Wayoro (Tupian family), an endangered language which is situated in the state of Rondônia (Brazil). In this paper I describe some of the morphosyntactic and distributional properties of nouns and verbs. An additional objective of this paper is to examine the nominal and/or verbal properties of the constructions involving the morpheme {-p} ‘nominalizer’. The data suggest that there are two kinds of constructions involving the morpheme {-p}: a lexical, or VP nominalization, and a clause nominalization.
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RESUMO

Esta pesquisa tem como objetivo fornecer uma abordagem preliminar sobre a morfossintaxe da nominalização em Wayoro (família Tupi), uma língua ameaçada localizada no estado de Rondônia (Brazil). Neste artigo, descrevo algumas propriedades sintáticas e distribucionais de nomes e de verbos. Outro objetivo deste trabalho é examinar propriedades verbais e/ou nominais de construções que envolvem o morfema {-p} ‘nominalizador’. Os dados sugerem que há dois tipos de construções relacionadas ao morfema {-p}: uma nominalização lexical ou de VP e uma nominalização sentencial.
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Introduction

Wayoro is a Tupian language of the Tuparian subfamily (MOORE; GALUCIO; GABAS JR, 2008), which is situated in the Brazilian state of Rondônia (TI Rio Guaporé, Guajará-Mirim, and Alta Floresta d'Oeste). There is a variety of indigenous names to denominate the language, such as Wajuru, Ayuru, Ajuru, Wayoró. However, speakers use Wayoro ([waj.o.'ɾo] or [ŋ̃waj.o.'ɾo]) to refer to their language, which is one of the most endangered native languages in Brazil (MOSELEY, 2011), since it is only spoken by 3 (three) people. Furthermore, the new generations are not acquiring Wayoro as their first language. The data for this study were collected in fieldwork on the indigenous land Rio Guaporé (Terra Indígena Rio Guaporé).

Fig. 1. Map of location of TI Rio Guaporé, in Rondônia (Brazil). Adapted from FUNAI, 2017.

The main objective of this study is to describe some of the morphosyntactic and distributional properties of nouns phrases (NPs) and verbs phrases (VPs) in Wayoro and to investigate their behavior in constructions denominated nominalizations in this language.

The sentences that are used as examples in this paper represent data from the following categories: elicitation sessions, individual narratives, traditional stories, accounts of procedural tasks, personal recounts, and published material. The data were
mainly collected during various visits to the Ricardo Franco village (Guajará-Mirim, Rondônia) between 2008 and 2015.

This paper begins with a general characterization of noun phrases and verb phrases in Wayoro (sections 1 and 2). The subsequent section describes two different types of nominalizations in Mekens (another Tuparian language). Finally, in section 4, I describe and analyze constructions involving the Wayoro ‘nominalizer’ suffix {-p}, comparing it with its cognate in Mekens.

1. Noun phrases

Noun phrases (NPs) have the following distributional properties: NPs can be used as complements of verbs (1a), subjects of verbs (1a-b), complements of postpositions (1c). NPs can also be modified by adjectives (1c), by another NP (b), or by a numeral (1d).

1 In this paper, I followed the orthographic conventions used to write Wayoro (NOGUEIRA, 2012). Examples originating from natural texts or published materials are followed by the citation of the source.
d. NP modified by a numeral

aramîra [mbogop ndurut] tuuwa-t

woman child two give.birth-NFUT

‘The woman gave birth to twins.’

Concerning nouns in Wayoro, the bound pronominal markers refer to the possessor of possessive NPs, as in (2). The morpheme {te-} is the cognate of {se-} and {te-}, coreferential in Mekens and Akuntsu (GALUCIO, 2011; ARAGON, 2014), and {te-} reflexive in Tupari (ALVES, 2004).

(2) Bound pronominal markers as the possessor of possessive NPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o-tak</td>
<td>‘my daughter (man-speaking-term)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-tak</td>
<td>‘your daughter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndeke-tak</td>
<td>‘his daughter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>txi-tak</td>
<td>‘our daughter (inclusive)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ote-tak</td>
<td>‘our daughter (exclusive)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>djat-tak</td>
<td>‘your (plural) daughter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndeat-tak</td>
<td>‘their daughter’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NOEGUEIRA, 2013, p.328)

ndopĩ te-tak atinãn

‘the father is stingy about his daughter’
2. **Verbs**

2.1. **Word order**

Clauses in Wayoro are predominantly SOV (Subject-Object-Verb) [(3a, c)] or OVS [(3b)] when transitive and VS [(3c)] or SV [(1b)] when intransitive. There is no case marking on the arguments of the clause. Compare the following data, where the same NP, *amêko* ‘jaguar’, has different positions:

(3) Constituent Order

a. S O V
   
   [amêko]_{subj} kopit akãra ka
   jaguar peccary bone ingest
   ‘The jaguar eats peccary bone.’

b. O V S
   
   aote.nã etikia [amêko]_{subj}
   person grab jaguar
   ‘The jaguar grabbed people.’ *(Text)*

c. S O V
   
   ngwaykup [amêko]_{obj} pun-purã-n
   man jaguar RED-shoot-NFUT
   ‘The man killed (shot several times) the jaguar.’

d. S V
   
   [amêko peo mbiro]_{subj} te-ngôyã-n
   jaguar wing have 3COR-sit-NFUT
   ‘The jaguar that had wings was sitting.’ *(Text)*

---

2 In the data set, the order SVO was not attested to date. I would like to emphasize that Wayoro is a head-final language in both VPs and PPs (cf. Examples (1c), (26))
In Wayoro, OV is an inseparable unit. This is shown in the examples below (Nogueira, 2011, p. 210-211). Examples (4a, 4b) show that the insertion of an intervening PP within the OV unit is ungrammatical, such as the PP te-ndaup mē ‘to his son’, headed by the postposition mē ‘to’, as in (4b).

(4) OV unit in Wayoro

a. te-ndaup mē ngwaykup [uwoy.tūkwa-p yōā-n]ov
   3COR-son to man fish.with.fishing.net-p give-NFUT

   ‘The man gave a fishing net to his son.’

b. *ngwaykup uwoy.tūkwa-p te-ndaup mē yōā-n
   man fish.with.fishing.net-p 3COR-son to give-NFUT

   ‘The man gave a fishing net to his son.’

2.2. Personal morphemes

An absolutive morphosyntactic alignment pattern of person morphology is used on verbs. The personal (free) pronouns are used for ergative arguments (A) and are also used optionally for S arguments with intransitive verbs. Prefixes are used for absolutive arguments (S/O) (see below 5-6).

In Tables 1 and 2, I list the inventory of the personal prefixes and personal pronouns:

---

3 Other authors have suggested that the language can also be analyzed as Nominative-Absolutive: “considering the distribution of the pronouns as a whole, this hybrid alignment found in the Tuparian languages is a clear instantiation of the Nominative-Absolutive alignment pattern proposed by Gildea and Castro Alves (2010, in press) for Cariban and Northern Jê Languages. The nominative pattern (free pronouns) co-occurs with the absolutive pattern (argument marking on the verb)” (Galucio; Nogueira, in press).
Bound pronouns
(prefixes/S and clitics/O arguments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} person</td>
<td>m- ~ o-</td>
<td>txi- (inclusive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ote-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} person</td>
<td>e-</td>
<td>djat-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} person</td>
<td>te-</td>
<td>te- (Subject)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y- ~ ndeke-</td>
<td>y- ~ ndeat-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Bound pronouns

Free pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} person</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>txire (inclusive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ote (exclusive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} person</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>djat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} person</td>
<td>ndeke</td>
<td>ndeat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Free pronouns

The first person morpheme has three phonologically conditioned allomorphs in Wayoro: o- before consonant initial stem, m- before nasal(ized) vowel initial stem, and mb- before oral vowel initial stem. Also, the o- allomorph can be nasalized before a nasal consonant. There are some homophonous pronouns\textsuperscript{4}, but they belong to a different paradigm, as we can see in Table 3.

\textsuperscript{4} These examples may be analyzed as a case of syncretism in pronominal forms. “A syncretism is found when morphemes composed of distinct sets of syn[tactic]sem[antic] features are realized with the same phonological exponent” (EMBICK, 2015, p. 25)
The third person morpheme has four phonologically conditioned allomorphs: *ndeke-* and *ndeat-* before vowel initial stem, *dj-* before vowel initial stem, and *y-* before nasal(ized) vowel initial stem (see NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 80-81). Also, the third person morpheme does seem to have an indefinite use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Free pronouns (S and A arguments)</th>
<th>Bound pronouns (prefixes/S and clitics/O arguments)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1PL.EXCL</td>
<td>ote</td>
<td>ote-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PL</td>
<td>djat</td>
<td>djat-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>ndeke</td>
<td>ndeke-dj-y-te- (only S argum.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PL</td>
<td>ndeat</td>
<td>ndeat-dj-y-te- (only S argum.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Homophous pronouns

(5) Paradigm of personal pronouns used in subject of transitive (A) function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dj=ipitkwa</th>
<th>‘I’ll throw something.’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>on</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa</td>
<td>‘You threw something.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa</td>
<td>‘He threw something.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndeke</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa-t</td>
<td>‘We (incl.) threw something.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>txire</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa-t</td>
<td>‘We (excl.) threw something.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ote</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa</td>
<td>‘You threw something.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>djat</td>
<td>dj=ipitkwa-t</td>
<td>‘They threw something.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(6) Paradigm of bounds (clitics) pronouns used in object (O) function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>o=tigaa-t</th>
<th>‘The woman painted me.’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aramîra</td>
<td>o=tigaa-t</td>
<td>‘The woman painted you.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aramîra</td>
<td>ndeke=tigaa-t</td>
<td>‘The woman painted him.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aramîra</td>
<td>txi=tigaa-t</td>
<td>‘The woman painted us (incl.).’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

5 The third person distribution suggests that the language also seems to have a tripartite alignment in which there is A≠S≠P. This suggestion is under examination.

aramīra  ote=tigaa-t  ‘The woman painted us (excl.).’
o te  djat=tigaa-t  ‘The woman painted you.’
aramīra  ndeat=tigaa-t  ‘The woman painted them.’

(7) Paradigm of bounds (prefixes) pronouns used in subject of intransitive verb (S) function

m-engukwa-t  (on)  ‘I’m sweating.’
 e-engukwa-t  (en)  ‘You are sweating.’
(ndeke)  te-ngôyã-n  ‘He is sitting.’
(txire)  txi-engukwa-t  ‘We (incl.) are sweating.’
(ote)  ote-engukwa-t  (ote)  ‘We (excl.) are sweating.’
(djat)  djar-engukwa-t  ‘You are sweating.’
(ndeat)  te-ngôyã-n  ‘They are sitting.’

S or O is always marked regardless of other features (semantic class of the intransitive verb, person hierarchy, etc.), as shown in examples (7) and (8).

(8) Wayoro (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 159; SANTOS, 2010, p. 132)

a. ngwaykup  o=toa-t
   man  1SG=see-NFUT
   ‘The man saw me.’
b. ndeke=toa-p  nã  on
   3SG=see-p  FUT  1SG
   ‘I’ll see her.’

In addition, as shown in (9), the personal prefixes function as the object, while the free pronouns function as subject, relating to the 1st person singular and the 2nd person singular.
(9) Wayoro transitive verbs

a. en o=tigaa-t
   2SG 1SG=body.paint-NFUT
   ‘You painted me.’

b. on e=tigaa-t on6
   1SG 2SG=body.paint-NFUT 1SG
   ‘I painted you.’

c. o=puruga-t en
   1SG=pierce-NFUT 2SG
   ‘You pierced me.’ (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 155)

d. e=puruga
   2SG=pierce/take.virginity
   ‘Take your virginity.’ (Lit: ‘Pierce you.’)

Object (O) arguments encoded by personal prefixes do not co-occur with a free pronoun or a NP. As in (10a) and (10b), the object of the transitive verb ombaa ‘hit’ can be a third personal prefix (10a) or a NP (10b), but not both simultaneously.

(10) Personal prefix or NP as third person object

a. y=ombaa-t on
   3=hit-NFUT 1SG
   ‘I hit them.’

   (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 68)

---

6 The repetition of the free pronoun is attested only in the 1st person singular as the subject of the transitive verb, but more work is needed to better comprehend this kind of data.
b. ndaikut en [e-men ombaa]
tomorrow 2SG 2SG-husband kill

“The tomorrow, you will kill your husband.” (Texto)

The ungrammatical examples below attest that personal prefixes cannot be
preceded by co-referential free pronouns or NP in the same function. See examples
(11a-b) and (11a’-b’).

(11) Ungrammatical data

a. Irene o=tigaa-t
   Irene 1SG=body.paint-NFUT
   ‘Irene painted me.’

a’. *Irene on o-tigaa-t
   Irene 1SG 1SG-body.paint-NFUT (Intended reading: ‘Irene painted me.’)

b. mbogop amêko ombaa-t
   child jaguar/dog hit-NFUT
   ‘The child hit the dog.’
   (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 111)

b’. *mbogop amêko  y-ombaa-t
   child    jaguar/dog   3-hit-NFUT (Intended reading: ‘The child hit the dog.’)

Note that, in (12), free pronouns cannot function as the object of a transitive verb.

(12) Free pronouns cannot function as the object of a transitive verb

a. Irene o=tigaa-t
   Irene 1SG=body.paint-NFUT
   ‘Irene painted me.’

b. *Irene on=tigaa-t
   Irene 1SG=body.paint-NFUT (Intended reading: ‘Irene painted me.’)

In the second person, the personal prefix {e-} co-occurs with the co-referential
free pronouns {en}, but it has a very different meaning (13). The NP Irene is a vocative
and the clause is reflexive, where the personal prefixes can co-occur with co-referential free pronouns (see also NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 181-217, 2013 for a discussion of reflexive constructions in Wayoro).

(13) Reflexive construction
   a. Irene en e=tigaa
      Irene 2SG 2SG=body.paint
      ‘Irene, you will paint yourself?’ (Impossible interpretation: ‘Irene painted you.’)
   b. aramīra e=tigaa-t
      woman 2SG=body.paint-NFUT
      ‘The woman painted you.’

Intransitive verbs always occur with personal prefixes, as in (14). The prefixes cross-reference a NP or a (free) pronoun, if present.

(14) Wayoro intransitive verbs (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 70)
   a. o-tera-t (on)
      1SG-gosing-NFUT 1SG
      ‘I went.’
   a’. *tera-t on
      1SG-gosing-NFUT 1SG (Intended reading: ‘I went.’)
   b. mbogop te-enunkara-t
      child 3COR-breath-NFUT
      ‘The child breathed.’
   b’. *mbogop enunkara-t
      child breath-NFUT (Intended reading: ‘The child breathed.’)

In addition, note that the prefix {te-} ‘coreferential’ never co-occurs with a NP object, as in (15).
(15) {te-} ‘coreferential’ never co-occurs with a NP object (adapted from NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 78)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>*amêko       te-punkwa-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jaguar/dog 3COR-shoot-NFUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Intended reading: ‘(He) shot the dog.’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>ndeke amêko punkwa-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3SG jaguar/dog shoot-NFUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘He shot the dog.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This distribution, shown in (14), suggests that S argument personal prefixes are agreement morphemes in intransitive clauses, whereas the O personal pronouns are not, since they cannot co-occur with a full DP in object position. This distribution suggests that bound pronouns are functioning as objects rather than agreement. These pronouns can be analyzed as cliticized pronouns.

The same type of analysis was proposed for the Mekens language (also from the Tuparian branch) (GALUCIO, 2001, 2011), as in (16). In Mekens, in the intransitive VPs,

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>roque se-er-a-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>roque 3COR-sleep-TH.V-PST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘Roque slept.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(16) Mekens: intransitive and transitive VPs

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e=toa-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2SG-see-NFUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘(He) saw you.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

7 The omission of third person, as in (i), is common in subject and object function.

---
b. ameko aose so-a-t
jaguar/dog man/person see-TH.V-PST
‘The jaguar saw the man.’

c. ameko i-so-a-t
jaguar/dog 3SG-see-TH.V-PST
‘The jaguar saw him/her/it.’

d. *ameko aose i-so-a-t
jaguar/dog man/person 3SG-see-TH.V-PST CC (Intended reading: ‘The jaguar saw the man.’)

2.3. Tense and aspect

After the verb stem, the morpheme {-t ~ -n} is used to indicate non-future, as in (17).

(17) Non-future semantic of {-t} suffix

a. e-ŋgora mâyã-n on ega
   2SG-music sing-NFUT 1SG yesterday
   ‘Yesterday, I sang (a song) for you.’

b. m-emburaa-t
   1SG-fly-NFUT
   ‘I’m flying.’
   (NOGUEIRA, 2013, p. 330)

c. djat-paga-t nen djat
   2PL-get.drunk-NFUT INTERR 2PL
   ‘Did you (plural) get drunk?’ or ‘Are you (plural) drunk?’
   (NOGUEIRA, 2013, p. 330)

The use of the morpheme {-p}, followed by the morpheme {nã}, is used to refer to the future, as in (18a). In (18b), the non-future suffix {-t} is not permitted since there is a temporal adverb in the sentence: ndaikut ‘tomorrow’.
(18)  Future semantic of the use of {-p} followed by {nã}
   
a.  ndaikut ngwai kup te-tera-p nã  
    tomorrow man 3COR-go-p FUT  
   ‘Tomorrow, the man will go.’

   b.  *ndaikut ngwai kup te-tera-t  
    tomorrow man 3COR-go-NFU  

   (Intended reading: ‘Tomorrow, the man will go.’)

Following are the aspectual morphemes identified to date: {-rara} to indicate repetition and {-kw} to indicate repetition and intensification (plurality of event). Both morphemes can co-occur with morphemes that indicate tense, as we can see in (19).

(19)  Examples of {-kw} and {-rara} aspectual suffixes
   
a.  m-amôy-kw-a-t  
    1SG-dance-PL-TH.V-NFUT 1SG  
   ‘I’m dancing fast.’

   (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 126)

   b.  aramîra-ian atiti ndet-kw-a-t  
    woman-PL corn grind-PL-TH.V-NFUT  
   ‘The women are grinding the corn very much.’

   (NOGUEIRA, 2011, p. 137)

c.  ndat to-rara-t  
    snake see-REP-NFUT 1SG  

   ‘I saw a snake again.’

   d.  Tuero nder-ara-p nã  
    chicha grind-REP-p FUT 1SG  

   ‘I’ll grind chicha (a fermented drink) again.’
According to Galucio (2001, 2014), /-ra/ is a repetitive suffix in Mekens, as in (20).

(20) Example of {-ra} repetitive suffix in Mekens

\[ o-so-a-ra \quad kot \]
\[ 1SG-bath-TH.V-REP \quad IM.FUT \]

‘I will bath (again).’

(GALUCIO, 2001, p. 92)

2.4. Transitivization

The causative morpheme \{mô- ~ ô-\} adds an external argument to an intransitive verb, turning it into a transitive verb, as shown in (21) (see NOGUEIRA, 2011). The intransitive verb \(era\) ‘to sleep’ (21a) receives the ‘causative/transitivizer’ prefix \{mô-\}, and the subject \(o-mêpit\) ‘my child’ functions as the object in the derived verbal construction, as in (21b).

(21) Example of transitivization in Wayoro (NOGUEIRA, 2011)

\[ a. \quad o-mêpit \quad te-era-t \]
\[ 1SG-child \quad 3COR-sleep-NFUT \]

‘My child slept.’

\[ b. \quad aramîra \quad o-mêpit \quad mô-era-n \]
\[ woman \quad 1SG-child \quad CAUS-sleep-NFUT \]

‘The woman made my child sleep.’

We have seen that clitic pronouns may encode objects in transitive verbs. The following examples (22a-b) illustrate that the derived transitive verb with \{mô- ~ ô-\} can also have a clitic pronoun functioning as its object.
(22) Personal prefixes as object of the derived transitive verb
   a. en o=mô-era-n
      2SG 1SG=CAUS-sleep-NFUT
      ‘You made me sleep.’
   b. on e=mô-era-n
      1SG 2SG=CAUS-sleep-NFUT
      ‘I made you sleep.’

3. Nominalization(s) in Tuparian family

In this section, I will briefly present Galucio’s analysis of nominalization in Mekens, which will be relevant for the preliminary analyses of Wayoro nominalization, since they are sister languages. Galucio (2011) considers that Mekens has two kinds of nominalization: grammatical and lexical nominalization (SHIBATANI; MARKHASIEN, 2009 apud GALUCIO, 2011). The circumstantial nominalizer {-ap} refers to instrumental and locative nouns (lexical nominalization), as in (23):

(23) Mekens
   a. otat poka-ap
      fire burn/light-NMLZ
      ‘match or lighter’ (lit. tool (for) lighting the fire)
   b. iki ekwe-ap
      water run-NMLZ
      ‘rapids’ (lit. part of a river where the current is swift)
   c. [o-to-ap]  i-pagop
      1SG-lie-NMLZ  3SG-new
      ‘My hammock is new.’
In this kind of structure, “[…] person prefix […] functions in the derived noun (phrase) as the possessor of the nominalization” (GALUCIO, 2011, p. 243), such as the prefix {o-} in (23c).

In event nominalization, the circumstantial nominalization combines with postpositions, thereby resulting in postpositional phrases that encode the adverbial modification (GALUCIO, 2011, p. 239-245). In (24), the nominalization functions as the object of the postpositional phrase, headed by the locative postposition ese.

(24) Mekens
\[\text{o-ib-ra-ab=}\text{ese}\] tabir=eri ka
\text{1SG-come-REP-NMLZ=}\text{LOC field=ABL go/come}
ki-po-e-mot-kwa
\text{1PL.INCL-hand-INTR-make-TR}

‘When I come back from the field, we will work.’
(Lit. at my coming back from the field, we will work.)
(GALUCIO, 2011, p. 243)

According to Galucio (2011, p. 244)

In lexical nominalizations, the nominalizer morpheme –ap directly follows the verb root, while in event nominalizing […] , the nominalizer appears after the thematic vowel and other inflectional morphemes. Furthermore, the event nominalizing maintains the syntactic properties (specifically the argument structure) of the verb, while the lexical nominalization holds only a morphological and semantic association to the verb root. (GALUCIO, 2011, p. 244).

Therefore, in Mekens, there are two different kinds of nominalization: grammatical and lexical nominalization, which are expressed through the same morpheme, {ap}. As we will see below, in Wayoro, constructions with {-p} morpheme can refer to a nominalized VP or a clause nominalization.
4. Wayoro nominalizations with {-p}8

According to van Gijn, Haude and Muysken (2011, p. 10-11), nominalization is “probably the most common subordination strategy in South American languages”. There is participant nominalization, which functions as relative clauses; event nominalization, used for complementation, but also for relative and adverbial relations; and participial nominalization, in which the nominalizer also encodes a verbal property.

In this section, I will show that constructions with {-p} morpheme can refer to: (i) an instrumental or locative noun (as ndoa ‘hammock’ and toap ‘mirror’); (ii) an event nominalization. These constructions have different morphosyntactic properties and can also be classified as a nominalized VP or as an aspectual phrase (event or clause nominalization).

4.1. VP nominalization

Nominalized verbs, like NPs, can be modified by other nouns. For example, in the possessive construction in (25b), the intransitive verb ndoa ‘to lie down’ is nominalized by {-p}, generating the word for ‘hammock’, and it can subsequently be modified by the noun ‘foreigner’. As we saw above in section 1, Wayoro has possessor-possessed word order. In (25b), the nominalized verb is the possessed and in (25c) the possessor. Furthermore, we can also see that the nominalized verb can be modified by an adjective, as in (25d). In this example, ndoa ‘hammock’ is modified by the adjective txuup ‘wet’.

(25) Nominalized verbs modified by nouns and by adjectives

a. E-ndoa-t nen
   2SG-lie-NFUT INTERR
   ‘Are you lying down?’

b. [ngwerep ndoa-p] emõ ponã
   foreigner lie-NMLZ EMPH use
   ‘Just use the foreigner's hammock.’

---

8 The morpheme {-p} is realized as [-p] after an oral vowel, and as [-m] after a nasal vowel.
In addition, like nouns, nominalized verbs can be the object of a postposition, as in (21). In this example, the intransitive verb ngôyã ‘to sit’ is nominalized. It has the meaning ‘mat’, and it is the complement of the postposition tere.

(26) Nominalized verb as complement of postposition

\[ \text{E-ngôyã } [\text{ngôyã-m tere}] \]

\[ \text{2SG-sit sit-NMLZ on} \]

‘Sit on the rush mat.’

As noted above, the intransitive verbs ndoa ‘to lie down’ and ngôyã ‘to sit’ can occur without the personal prefixes usually required by intransitive verbs. In the data, causative prefix, tense and aspect morphemes have not been found. A similar pattern is found with lexical nominalization of the transitive verbs toa ‘to see’ (27a) and puruga ‘to pierce’ (27b).

(27) Transitive nominalized verbs

a. o-[toa-p] mbiro

\[ \text{1SG-see-NMLZ have} \]

‘There is my mirror.’
b. [puruga-p] dj-akara pikam
   pierce-NMLZ 3-large deep

   ‘The hole is large and deep.’

Such lexical nominalizations cannot occur with sentential properties, as with the aspectual suffix -rara ‘repetition’, as shown in the ungrammatical examples (28b, d).

(28) Wayoro (Nogueira’s field notes)
a. o-ndo-ap txuup
   1SG-lie-NMLZ wet
   ‘My hammock is wet.’
b. *o-ndo-rara-p txuup
   1SG-lie-REP-NMLZ wet
c. e-ngõy-rara-a ngõyã-m tere
   2SG-sit-REP-IMP sit-NMLZ on
   ‘Sit on the mat again.’
d. *e-ngõy-a [ngõy-rara-m] tere
   2SG-sit-REP-IMP sit-REP-NMLZ on

We can, therefore, analyze such constructions as nominalized VPs, as in (29).

(29) Lexical nominalization: [NP [VP ndoa] -p]

4.2. Event or clause nominalization

Another kind of construction involving the {-p} suffix was attested in the corpus. In Wayoro, these constructions can be complement and adverbial clauses that function as clausal arguments and adjuncts, respectively, as in (30) and (31). Despite the absence of the tense suffix {-t} in the data, there are clausal properties, such as the causative prefix (which adds an external argument to an intransitive verb) and the aspectual suffix {-rara} ‘repetition’. Also, there are always personal prefixes, if the verb is an intransitive verb. I will now call these constructions clause nominalizations, referring to the same type of phenomenon as event nominalization proposed for Mekens by Galucio (2011).
In (30a-b) below, we can see that the required personal prefix {m-} ‘1st person singular’ of the intransitive verb mâyã ‘to dance’ cannot be absent, such as in any intransitive clause. Personal pronouns, however, never appear. This suggests that there is no agreement among the data.

(30) Absence of personal prefix is not permitted in clause nominalization

a. on [m-amôyã-m] nĩa-rom on
   1SG 1SG-dance-p want-NEG 1SG
   ‘I, I do not want to dance.’

b. *on [amôyã-m] nĩa-rom on
   1SG 1SG-dance-p want-NEG 1SG
   (Intended reading: ‘I, I do not want to dance.’)

Lexical nominalization, in contrast, permits the absence of a personal prefix, as we have seen in (21b) and (22).

In (31), the construction involving {-p} presents the aspectual suffix {-rara}, meaning ‘repetition’. In (31a), the complement clause functions as the object of the transitive verb. In (31b), the nominalization is used as the complement of the postposition ere, and the construction is interpreted as an adverbial clause.

(31) Occurrence of {-rara} aspectual suffix in clause nominalization

a. [m-amôyã-rara-m] nĩa-rom on
   1SG-dance-REP-p want-NEG 1SG
   ‘I do not want to dance again.’ (Text)

b. y-engu ate ka [nder-ara-p ere]
   3-chicha ? ingest grind-REP-p LOC
   ‘Nevertheless, (they) were drinking her chicha (a fermented drink), when (they) were making chicha again.’ (Text)
As illustrated by the examples above, these constructions involving \{-p\} allow the presence of an aspectual suffix.

Finally, causative/transitivizer morphology can also be found in these constructions. In the following examples, the verb *apokaya* ‘to arrive’ is intransitive, as we attest in (32a). This verb appears with the transitivizer prefix \{mõ-\} in the complement construction involving \{-p\} (32b).

\((32)\) Causative/transitivizer morpheme in clause nominalization

a. mbogop te-apokaya
   child 3COR-arrive
   ‘The children arrived.’ (*Text*)

b. txi-mõ-apokaya-p toa-t on
   1PL.INCL-CAUS-arrive-p see-NFUT 1SG
   ‘I saw us arrive’. (*Text*)

In this way, clause nominalization in Wayoro is a construction that can have the functional heads AspP (aspectual morphemes) and vP (causative/transitivizer morpheme), which are sentential properties (see sections 2.3 and 2.4).

5. Final conclusions

My paper began with a presentation of some of the morphosyntactic properties of nouns and verbs in Wayoro. Then I described two kinds of constructions involving the morpheme \{-p\}, glossed as ‘nominalizer’ in both cases (NOGUEIRA, 2013, 2014). We have seen some differences between these two constructions. The first set of data has some properties of the nouns (lexical nominalization) and the second set of data has some sentential properties (aspectual nominalization), as in Wayoro’s sister language, Mekens.
It is interesting to note that the Karitiana language (also a Tupian language) morphologically differentiates a nominalizer {-pa} from an infinitive {-p} suffix (ROCHA, 2016). These morphemes suggest that the grammatical properties of nominalization and infinitive subordination are related to cognate morphemes in the Tupian family.

Van Gijn, Haude and Muysken (2011, p. 13) claim that “event nominalization in South America often allows for the retention of verbal morphology on the nominalized predicate”. One hypothesis to be tested is whether the event/clause nominalization sentences would be an infinitive phrase, since there are sentential properties, such as the causative prefix and aspectual suffix {-rara}. Infinitives are also considered nominal forms of the verb.

**Abbreviations**

1PL.INCL first person plural inclusive; 1SG first person singular; 2PL second person plural; 2SG second person; 3 third person; 3COR third person co-referential; 3SG third person singular; ABL ablative; CAUS causative; EMPH emphasis; FUT future; IM.FUT immediate future; IMP imperative; INTERR interrogative; INTR intransivizer; LOC locative; NEG negation; NFUT non-future; NMLZ nominalizer; PL plural; PST past; RED reduplication; REP repetition; TH.V theme vowel; TR transitivizer
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