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ABSTRACT

In late 1913, the American writer Evelyn Scott eloped with Cyril Kay-Scott, a well-known physician who was, then, married, father of four, and more than twice her senior. Without passports, taking with them very little money, the couple fled first from New Orleans to New York, then to London, finally to Brazil, where they eventually faced poverty, starvation, and almost complete isolation in the backlands of Bahia. Using concepts derived from Critical Discourse Analysis, Anthropology of Emotions, Gender Studies, and Exile Studies, this work aims to examine issues of gender and emotion in Evelyn Scott’s autobiographical account of her Brazilian self-imposed exile which extended from 1914 to 1919. The analysis is based on her autobiography Escapade (1923) and on part of Cyril Kay-Scott’s Life is too short (1943). Results indicate that, although the couple suffered a great deal in exile, the experience proved to be particularly painful for Evelyn Scott, who did not speak a word of Portuguese. Besides, she was pregnant and had to go through a difficult childbirth in the outskirts of the city of Natal. The entire context contributed to make gender and emotion crucial aspects for Evelyn Scott’s experience both as a woman and as a writer.
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RESUMO

No final de 1913, a escritora americana Evelyn Scott fugiu com Cyril Kay-Scott, um renomado médico que, à época, era casado, pai de quatro filhos e tinha mais que o dobro da idade dela. Sem passaportes, levando muito pouco dinheiro no bolso, o casal, primeiro fugiu de Nova
Orleans para Nova York, em seguida, para Londres e, finalmente, para o Brasil, onde acabaram enfrentando pobreza, fome e isolamento no sertão da Bahia. Usando conceitos emprestados da Análise Crítica do Discurso, da Antropologia das Emoções, dos estudos de gênero e dos estudos sobre exílio, este trabalho objetiva examinar questões de gênero e de emoção no relato autobiográfico de Evelyn Scott sobre seu auto-imposto exílio brasileiro, que se estendeu de 1914 a 1919. A análise tem como base a autobiografia da autora intitulada *Escapade* (1923) e parte da autobiografia de Cyril Kay-Scott, *Life is too short* (1943). Resultados indicam que, embora o casal tenha sofrido muito no exílio, a experiência se mostrou particularmente dolorosa para Evelyn Scott, que não falava uma palavra do português. Além disso, ela estava grávida e teve que enfrentar um parto difícil na periferia da cidade de Natal. Esse contexto como um todo contribuiu para fazer com que gênero e emoção se tornassem aspectos cruciais para a experiência de Evelyn Scott, tanto como mulher quanto como escritora.
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**Introduction**

The American writer Evelyn Scott was born Elsie Dunn (1893-1963) in the small city of Clarksville, Tennessee. She was the only child of Seely and Maude Thomas Dunn, two exemplars of a typical Southern aristocratic family who owned tobacco plantation. At the age of 19, still legally a minor in those days, she fled as lover of Cyril Kay-Scott, who was born Frederick Creighton Wellman (1874-1960) in Independence, Missouri. Cyril did not have the same aristocratic background as Evelyn Scott, but he also belonged to a prosperous family whose members belonged to the railroad business.

From the very beginning the Scott’s story was affected by discrepancies that would count against them for the rest of their lives, notably Evelyn’s age and Cyril’s marital status. When they first met Cyril was not only married, father of four, and more than twice her senior, but also a well known physician, researcher, and Dean of the School of Tropical Medicine at Tulane University, one of the most prestigious educational institutions (CALLARD, 1985, p. 1-2). It was against this conflicting background that they fell in love and, in 1913, eloped from the United States in search of a completely unknown future in Brazil. In different periods of their lives, both of them wrote powerful autobiographical accounts of their tropical adventure. Evelyn wrote the first draft of her autobiography while they were still living in Brazil. Cyril, on the other hand, wrote his twenty years later.

Scholars and biographers (BACH, 1989, p. 76-91; JONES, 2001; WHITE, 1998; CALLARD, 1985; WELKER, 1958; SCURA, 1995) have pointed out that Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott’s romance began and developed under a complex emotional context in which feelings of hatred, persecution, and revenge emerged from two main sources: Cyril’s ex-wife, who refused to be replaced by a younger woman while losing her marital status; and Evelyn’s parents, who refused to lose their only child in a social scandal that would become a police case. The scandal became so out of proportion that they decided to adopt false identities in order to
ran away from their hometown. Their itinerary included first a one-night stay in New York, then London. Once in London, for fear of being found by the police authorities and because they did not have any prospects of securing jobs there, Brazil became a possibility, the country where Cyril believed he could get a proper job.

The affair could have been considered just a major social scandal. However, it had also the ingredients to become a police case, since it involved an older married man travelling with a minor. At the time this kind of action was illegal and could have resulted in the imprisonment of Cyril Kay-Scott.

Considering the relevance of gender and emotion in Evelyn Scott’s self-imposed exile, this work aims to investigate the writer’s autobiographical discourse drawing from her seminal work *Escapade* (1985 [1923]). As a young woman, who had agreed to share poverty and isolation with an older man in a foreign country, what was her role in such extreme adventure? Carrying aristocratic values, how did she respond to the hardships found in early twentieth-century Brazil? What was the relevance of gender and emotion to her experience and her discourse both as a woman and as a writer? Although this article focuses on *Escapade*, the autobiography of Cyril Kay-Scott, *Life is too short* (1943), proved to be essential for the purpose of the analysis.

The article is thus organized in the following order: In section 1, concepts of discourse, gender, emotion, and exile are presented as the theoretical support. Section 2 contextualizes the method describing the selection of the material and the criteria used for the selection. In section 3, the analysis is developed exploring excerpts taken from the autobiographical works *Escapade*, and *Life is too short*. Finally, some conclusions are drawn, pointing out the importance of further research on the role of gender and emotion for a better understanding of exile experiences of couples in isolation.

**Relevant concepts**

**Discourse**

Within Social Sciences, Linguistics in particular, the term discourse has become so familiar that, mistakenly, it has been taken for granted the need to clarify it more accurately. This inaccuracy, however, does not imply a small number of definitions. From Foucault (1972) to Van Dijk (1990), and Fairclough (1989), many scholars have dedicated significant part of their time investigating the complexities involved in the concept of discourse. The problem seems to be more related to the lack of punctuation in adopting the concept within a specific discussion than to a limited number of definitions. In what follows some of the existing definitions available are presented, so that we can point out which one is most appropriate to be used in the present article.

In the early days of descriptive linguistics it was not common for scholars of the area to establish a link between the concept of discourse and that of language use. The saussurean
semiotics separated the individual from the social being, approaching language as an impersonal objet, somehow distant from its meaning (COOK, 1996). Critical to this approach, Bakhtin (1975) highlighted that the individuals did not appear in the social scenery first, isolated from the others, using language to overcome this natural isolation. On the contrary, they existed through the relationship created between them through language. It is by using language that they acquire concret social existence. The individual, says the author, “is only a projection of the encounter between various discourses” (1975, p. 63). Given the weight attributed to language as a social activity Bakhtin was already disseminating the idea of discourse as social practice.

Contemporarily, one of the most prominent representatives of discourse as social practices was Michel Foucault. For the French philosopher, the term discourse should be thought as “[...] practices that form the objects of which they speak. Discourse does not exist per si, it is something that produces something else, be that a concept, an elocution, or an effect” (1972, p. 49). Discourse, as employed by Foucault (1971; 1972) and later adapted to critical discourse analysis (FOWLER, 1987; FAIRCLOUGH, 1989; KRESS, 1992), refers to discursive formations and the power relations imposed in individuals in social practices. The medical discourse, for example, builds up knowledges and social identities in order to determine the concepts of health and illness. The same applies to other types of discourses.

This kind of approach was influential to a number of scholars coming from different areas of study. Within discursive linguistics particularly interested in his ideas were those who perceived a close relationship between language use, power relations, and political and ideological issues. Following this line of thought and concerned with power relations and the way they influence the production of texts, Fairclough (1992, p. 63) considers discourse a form of social practice as opposed to an individual activity, or as a mere reflex of situational variables. For the author, language is part of the social process. The intimacy that exists between society and language does not allow linguistic phenomena to be separated from society. In that sense linguistic phenomena are social and social phenomena are, in part, linguistic. But, according to Fairclough (1989), this proximity does not imply asymmetry between language and society because “the society is the whole and language is just an element that forms it. Therefore while all linguistic phenomena are social, not all social phenomena are linguistic” (1989, p. 23).

Complementing this social view of discourse but closely connected with cognitive approaches, Van Dijk (1990) argues that, since individuals need a mental representation of power, the relationship between language and society is intermediated by cognitive structures. For the author, it is the construction of cognitive structures that establishes the relationship between society and power, not the objective reality in itself (VAN DIJK, 1990, p. 164). Therefore, discourse meaning should thus include not only verbal and non-verbal observable elements, social interactions, and speech acts, but also cognitive representation and strategies involved during interpretation and production.
The discourse meaning is a cognitive structure. It makes sense to include in the concept of discourse not only observable verbal and non-verbal elements, or social interactions and speech acts, but also the cognitive representation and strategies involved during the production or comprehension of discourse (1990: 164)

In this paper, the term discourse is used to refer to social practices in which power, culture, and ideology influence the way people use language to act upon each other in specific social contexts. Adopting such approach contributes to better understand the circumstances in which Evelyn Scott’s autobiographical discourse was constructed. After all, during her long period of isolation in the backlands of Brazil she had to struggle to express her ideas within a social environment that was hostile to women, especially to young foreigner women. Not surprisingly, in Escapade, one can see that issues of gender and emotion emerge as aspects that affect her daily life and, consequently, her writing (discourse) about it.

Next, some gender concepts are described in order to enable us to follow the one most appropriate to our analysis.

**Gender**

In the 1970’s the perception of language marked by gender gave rise to a wide range of perspectives that tried to account for the role of gender in discourse. The so-called differences’ approach (LAKOFF, 1975) emerged as a landmark of analysis attempting to characterize existing differences between women’s and men’s language. Later on, the linguistic determinist approach suggested a historical male domination through language, understanding that language would have been planned by men to oppress women (SPENDER, 1980). As expected, alternative views to such approaches emerged. The first reaction came out through what was referred to as integrational approach (CAMERON, 1985). This perspective introduces a “discourse turn” in language and gender studies emphasizing the historical, dynamic and interactive nature of language in use, an approach which requires that “any instance of language or linguistic units be considered in relation to the function they serve in particular situated uses, and [...] that the units themselves not be taken as fixed and immutable” (ECKERT & McCONNELL-GINET, 2003, p. 17). Gender studies then should not concentrate on the correlations between linguistic units and social categories of speakers but rather on analysis of the gendered dimension of ongoing discourse. According to this approach, language should not be separated from other forms of social behavior or from its social context. And linguistic theory should consider questions related to the meaning of language, to the definition of women’s and men’s language, to the connection between language and reality, and to the relationship between language and social minorities (CAMERON, 1985, p. 47).

Simultaneously to the appearance of the discursive view of language there was a shift in gender studies, which promoted the idea of gender not as an identity that people simply “have”...
but as a phenomenon that involves what people “do”. Gender therefore should not be taken for

just exist, but as something that is constantly produced, reproduced, and

changed through people’s performance of gendered acts, as they project their

own claimed gendered identities, ratify or challenge other’s identities, and in

various ways support or challenge systems of gender relations (ECKERT &


This “performance turn” is today mostly associated with the influential philosophical

approach (BUTLER, 1999). However as early as the 1970’s different traditions of sociology and

anthropology (GOFFMAN, 1977; McKENNA, 1978) had already pointed out the significance

of gender performance. This article supports the idea of gender as historically situated discourse,

and also an act that people perform according to the context in which they occur. Bringing both

the discursive and the performance turns together contributes to the notion of language and

gender as essentially embedded in social practices that involve not just individuals and their

individual choices and actions, but also ideological constraints that may shape these individual

actions. In that perspective, “gender is not something given, but an achievement. Not cause, but

effect” (ECKERT & McCONNELL-GINET, 2003, p. 18).

This kind of approach might help explain all the difficulties faced by Evelyn Scott in her

process of adaptation during her long Brazilian exile, where she struggled to survive and have a

voice in a completely new male-oriented society. In the next session, some concepts of emotion

are presented in order to find the one that best fit our analysis.

Views of Emotion

Within linguistics emotion was initially examined by descriptive linguistics under

its grammaticalization rules. When the Prague Linguistic Circle associates pointed out the

expressive or emotive function of language as one of its main functions they tried to displace the

focus from the referential meaning per se to the meaning that took into account the relationship

between language and affect (GÜNTHNER, 1997). However, they did not move forward as to

see language as an interactional phenomenon because they still kept too committed to the study

of emotion from the starting point of its grammaticalization only. Later on, a new paradigm

was introduced by discursive pragmatics, a field of language studies that valued research works

focused on the close relationship between language and emotion from the starting point of

different context of communication. At that point emotion became a key-element for the study

of interaction.

Outside linguistics, though, emotion has been investigated under different theoretical

perspectives. The essentialist, the relativist, the historic, and the contextualist are some of the

approaches that deserve attention in order to reach an agreement on the view that is more likely

to respond the questions raised in the present article.
(i) Essentialism

Influenced by the darwinian evolutionist theory, the essentialist approach conceives emotion as a merely natural phenomenon, intrinsic to all human beings regardless of any external circumstances to them (ABU-LUGHOD & LUTZ, 1990). In that vein, emotion may be understood as an experience that involves a set of basic shared feelings, which supports the idea of pre-existing emotions and inner emotional states common to all human beings. Even acknowledging that culture influences the expression of emotion, in other words, that every culture has its own ways of expressing emotions, the essentialists do not admit the idea of emotion as a socially constructed phenomenon. As a matter of fact, some essentialists got to the point of viewing emotion as just a sensation of the body (LUPTON, 1998).

This perspective was criticised by scholars committed to some sort of cross-cultural analysis (ROSALDO 1984; LUTZ 1988) who saw in the evolutionist movement an attempt to ignore the social role of emotion, emphasizing the dichotomy between emotional as irrational and chaotic in opposition to tought as rational and organized. As an alternative, some scholars suggest a relativist view.

(ii) Relativism

The relativist approach tries to relativize the western idea of naturality and universality of emotions, pointing out that the essence of emotion is culturally variable. Emotion is here viewed as a language phenomenon that modifies social behaviours through the reporting of stories. It is also perceived as a form of symbolic action and embodied thought, since feelings should not be viewed as substances to be found in our body but as social practices organized by stories that we can both tell and represent (ROSALDO, 1984,143). Unlike earlier researches on emotion drawn from ethnopsychology, the relativists approach to emotions stresses “[...] not what culturally variable ideas about emotion can tell us about other “deeper” psychological processes, but rather what implications these ideas have for social behaviour and social relations” (ABU-LUGHOD & LUTZ, 1990, p. 4).

In relativizing emotion and thought the relativists assume that emotion is culturally shaped. They thus contributed to the disarticulation of the excessively psychological paradigm of emotion that has prevailed in modern psychology. But they have also been criticized by those who claim that the relativist approach has ignored the role of history as a major aspect to understand the complexities involved in the experience of emotion as a historically situated phenomenon.
(iii) Historicism

According to those interested in emotions as sociocultural phenomena, a strategy to face the limitations of the strictly relativist approach is to historicize emotion. In that perspective, discourses on emotion, subjectivity, and the self should be examined over the time, looking at them taking into account particular social situations and historical moments, and observing if they have changed.

While the historical oriented researchers have shown great potential to expand they still have a long way ahead, since the amount of studies in that area is still small. In any case, some of them have focused on the history of formal and informal theories of emotions in the West (CANCIAN, 1987), and others have investigated the destiny of specific emotions (STEARNS and STEARNS, 1986).

For Abu-Lughod and Lutz (1990), a major contribution for the historical approach is the research carried out by Elias (1978 [1a ed. 1939]), who, based on the Reading of etiquette manuals, has shown that significant part of the transformations of affective life in Europe took place during the absolutist state. One of these transformations, for the author, is “an expansion of the contexts in which disgust occurs and a diminution of aggressive affect or behaviour”.

While acknowledging Elias’ work as a contribution to open the debate about the types of changes that have occurred in one historical setting, Abu-Lughod and Lutz (1990) have also criticized him: “That he calls this “civilizing process” is symptomatic of his uncritical interpretation of these changes as involving a refinement of a somehow preexisting affectivity, a position that many anthropologists would regard with skepticism” (1990, p. 5).

They mention other scholars who have investigated the disappearance or social shift of various emotions, and also the manipulation of emotional discourses for political and state purposes. Sadness, for example, has called the attention of many scholars interested in historical approach. The case of melancholy and accidie, important during medieval times, have been traced in the contemporary period (JACKSON, 1985). Sontag (1977) studied melancholy for the nineteenth-century Romantic movement, suggesting that this kind of emotion was viewed as a sign of refinement that promoted individuality within that context. Radden (1987), still looking at the same emotion, points out that, in the past, melancholy was primarily a male complaint, which, at the time, was at least in part socially valorized. He also studied the emotional experience of depression and observed that the modern discourse on depression places women as the ones who mostly carry it. Good & Good (1988) investigate how the Islamic State, today more than ever, organizes both public and private emotional discourses. For them, the Islamic State has transformed the public discourse of sadness and grief, which represented important feelings for social ritualistic purposes, into strict loyalty for the state.

Finally, Abu-Lughod & Lutz (op.cit) reminds us of the referential work by Foucault
(1978) on the importance of confessions as the *locus* of social control and production of the twentieth-century discourse.

**(iii) Discursive view**

Observing limitations on the perspectives discussed above, Abu-Lughod & Lutz (1990) propose a contextualist view of emotion, which is mostly based on the notion of discourse as social practice. Inspired by earlier works on the importance of emotion for the social performance of language (Irvine 1982; Ochs & Schieffelin 1989), they argue for a view of emotion as discursive practice. For the authors, the advantage here lies on the fact that “emotions are phenomena that can be seen in social interaction, much of which is verbal [...] attention to discourse leads us to study new problems, such as how an audience’s response to emotional performance can be unpredictable” (1990, p. 11). Emotion, according to this line, should not be viewed as “a substance carried by the vehicle of discourse, expressed by means of discourse, or “squeezed through” discourse, and thereby perhaps distorted in the shapes of language or speech” (1990:12). Quite on the contrary, for them, “emotional discourse should be understood as a form of social action that creates effects in the world, effects that are read in a culturally informed way by the audience for emotional talk” (1990, p. 12).

This discourse perception values the richness of specific situations and the role of language use. Also the emphasis on discourse favors a more complex view of the multiple and mutant meanings of emotion, which avoids essentialist concepts that tend to ignore the role of culture, language and gender in emotional exchanges and propositions. Outside linguistics, studies have suggested that gender can be viewed as a sociocultural construct that shapes women and men’s communicative behavior. Significant part of people’s behavior is played by emotion, a category naturally associated with the definition of gender. Therefore, any discourse about emotion is also a discourse about gender (ABU-LUGHOD & LUTZ, 1990). In the same vein, it has been claimed (LUTZ, 1990, p. 69) that both the common sense as well as the specialized literature attributes more emotionality to women and more rationality to men. By identifying emotion as chaotic or irrational and subsequently labeling women as a more emotional gender, the ideological subordination of women is reinforced. Also, regarding types of emotion, women are expected to experience a wider range of emotions whereas only some types of emotion are assigned to men, particularly those of anger and hatred.

This has implications because the social value attributed to certain types of emotion might favor men, whose emotions are viewed as more important, more reasonable, and more explainable than those of women. While for women emotion has been generally perceived as an essential characteristic, for man, it has been seen as something conditioned to specific situations (HOCHSCHILD, 1985).

In this paper emotion is viewed as constitutive parts of discourses that form social
practices shaped by culture and specific historical contexts. Since Evelyn Scott’s autobiographical discourse was constructed under the constraints of a typical emotional context such as that of exile, the discursive approach to emotion seems appropriate to our analysis.

Exile

In a generic and traditional perspective, exile can be viewed as something that is mostly accomplished under the form of political ban, voluntary expatriation, or emmigration for economic or religious reasons. However, in a more specific view, it may be circumscribed to an even more personal level when, for example, the exile participants are forced to leave their native country due to very private reasons, such as the undergoing of a forbidden love affair. In that sense, Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott may be considered not only law fugitives but also exiles, since after the breakout of the Great War they find themselves caught in the human condition of exile in Brazil.

In any case, whatever its origin or motivation, exile is both a profound and unique human experience. And as a research theme, it has occupied a central place within the various fields of knowledge. In Western literature, particularly, classic works such as the Odyssey, the Greek epic poem ascribed to Homer, and Oedipus Rex, by Sophocles, had already approached the topic. In these influential works the most ancient forms of exile point out the suffering characteristic of the exile condition. However, modernity admits new meanings and concepts from the XIXth Century on, when the exiled becomes someone intrinsically associated with the alienating condition of the modern man and world (BRADBURY & MCFARLANE, 1991).

Adorno, as a prestigious voice of the XXth Century, pointed out that the modern alienation applies to everyone, including and especially to those in exile whose physical exile is felt twice as much. Adorno was an exiled himself in the United States, and for him every immigrant writer feels mutilated because he or she is caught in a new environment, which is completely inteligible to them (ADORNO, 1978). Edward Said (2000) also states that, on the whole, any person who is prevented from returning home can be considered an exiled. For the writer, physical exile is an instigating thing to be thought about, but terrible to be actually experienced. It can in fact be compared with an unhealing wound, or a deep sadness which can never be overcome between a human being and their native land (SAID, 2000, p. 91).

This paper adopts the idea of exile as anyone who, in one way or another, is caught on a situation in which the choice of returning home is not available. Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott did choose to leave the United States for Brazil, however, after arriving in Brazil they could not return to their native land due to war restrictions. In that sense, one can say that they may fit halfway two categories: the one of voluntary exiles and that of self-imposed exiles. The first, because they chose to leave their country, the latter because, once in Brazil, they were not given the choice to return home due to the restriction of the Great War.
Contextualizing method and material of analysis

The method adopted in this paper follows the criteria of a qualitative analysis inspired by the notion of discourse as social practice (FAIRCLOUGH, 1992; VAN DIJK, 1990). In-depth analysis of the material is thus developed under the assumption that the material in focus is viewed as historically situated discourses. And while they convey ideologies they also shape and are shaped by the culture and society in which they are produced.

The material comprises the autobiographies \textit{Escapade} (SCOTT, 1995 [1923]) and \textit{Life is too short} (KAY-SCOTT, 1943), whose powerful accounts can be considered a type of discourse circumscribed to the wider field of life-writing, “a generic term used to describe a range of writings about lives or parts of lives [...]” that “include [...] memoir, autobiography, biography, diaries, letters [...]” (LEADER, 2015, p. 1).

Evelyn Scott’s work was published as an entire book about her life in Brazil whereas Cyril Kay-Scott’s is a book about his whole life, dedicating only one chapter to Brazil. Both biographies have been compared so as to identify differences and similarities regarding the way the Scotts perceived and experienced the new country. This kind of comparative methodology might help identify and understand how gender and emotion might separate or approach the Scott’s perception about their Brazilian experience.

According to Evelyn Scott she wrote her autobiography \textit{in loco}. In \textit{Escapade}, she affirms that she took daily field notes in Brazil, from 1913 to 1919). Notes that served as the foundation for the final writing process of her autobiographical masterpiece first published in the United States in 1923. Cyril Kay-Scott also took notes during their exile. However, his \textit{Life is too short} was not published until much later, in 1943, in fact, as late as twenty years after the publication of \textit{Escapade}.

The Scott’s autobiographical accounts reveal how they perceived their radical adventure shared in Brazil. They also reveal that they observed very closely important ritualistic social moments of the Brazilian culture, such as birth, death, and festa, topics that became dear to them, especially to Evelyn Scott. The material is thus unique, providing various possibilities for research. However, in this paper the excerpts selected are mostly concerned with issues of gender and emotion expressed in their discourse. The reason for this choice relies on the importance of gender for the analysis of voices of different genders and generations reporting the same social experience.

A gendered emotional exile

In their enthusiastic minds and hearts the tropical paradise represented a place where Cyril Kay-Scott would find job as researcher of tropical specimens and Evelyn would have the time and peace of mind to free her imagination and become the writer she had longed for.
since she was a child. However, when the couple arrived in Brazil, problems of survival and integration started to emerge as soon as they disembarked in Rio de Janeiro. Related to gender, some of these problems affected Cyril, who, as the male provider, could not secure the idealized job of researcher of tropical specimens. Other problems affected Evelyn in particular as she had two fundamental survival concerns associated with health and sense of belonging. One was the sheer reality that she had become pregnant and was constantly suffering from morning sickness. The other was her complete helplessness to communicate in the new environment as she could not understand or articulate a single word of Portuguese.

Already in the first paragraph of *Escapade* she describes how she felt when, in their modest room of Hotel Rio Branco, she heard:

> a knock at the door, and the Portuguese girl entered, very slovenly, her coarse black hair hanging in stiff locks against her full florid cheeks. When she said, “Bom dia”, I understood that, but the rest of her speech was a harsh murmur of guttural sound and depressed me with its strangeness. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 1)

Evelyn had immediately realized how crucial the mastery of the new language would be for her difficult process of adaptation to the new reality:

> John’s gaze, always so still and kind, opened on me first. Then he talked to the girl. In the interchange of unintelligible noises I felt my exclusion from the life about me, my helplessness. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 1)

Over the time what seemed to be initial difficulties became actually serious permanente problems for both of them. The drama became obvious that they tried to return to the United States soon after arriving in Brazil. However, far too involved with their own feelings and problems, they did not have fully realized the devastating consequences of the forthcoming declaration of World War One, which would force them to remain in the country for further five long years.

> I think of the three thousand miles between here and New York [...] At any rate that isn’t before us. It is perfectly definite now that our passport application has been refused. [...] We will live here somehow until the war is over and then perhaps we can get back to the coast and, without a passport, return to the States. I will be glad to leave here. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 175)

Their desire to leave Brazil was genuine but, without any prospects of returning home, they began to consider acquiring Brazilian citizenship instead. Nevertheless the very same war situation proved the intangibility of the plan:

> We have tried to become naturalized Brazilians – even paid a little to the lawyer we had to consult but people of warring nations will not be accepted as citizens by the Brazilian government. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 147)

Prevented from returning to their homeland Evelyn and Cyril felt exiled in two dimensions.
One related to their host country, Brazil, and its war constraints that would not let them go, and another related to their own country whose society, with its severe judicial constraints, had forced them to leave. For Evelyn Scott in particular the devastating effects of this situation turned Brazil, their host country, into a kind of prison. In midst of such ordeal Evelyn faces a crisis of belonging in which she felt as though she had no place either under the shade of banana trees in the backlands of Brazil or close to the sensuality of the palm trees on the American coast:

I know my country is not here around me where the pale light through the banana leaves is thin and poignant, nor there, where the palm trees sway like young girls dreaming after last night’s dance. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 75)

In any case gender plays a decisive part in the couple’s odyssey already in the early stage of their story when we notice that the choice for Brazil had two reasons which met Cyril’s conveniences only. The first reason is related to his sense of adventure and vocation to explore the untouched. He was fascinated by the greatness of the Amazon rainforest, where he hoped to work as a researcher of tropical specimens. The second reason is related to a more fundamental element of survival in a new country: the mastery of its language. Cyril spoke Portuguese, Evelyn didn’t. As a man and provider, who mastered the new language, Cyril Kay-Scott could occupy many layers of public sphere and even enjoy his travels around the country both for business purposes and for provision. He was thus more exposed to a process of genuine social interaction (SCURA, 1995) and could probably find more energy to fight his own suffering. Evelyn, in contrast, was almost all the time isolated, confined to a precarious domestic space. Without the possibility of proper social interaction, she felt “drowned in ennui”, oppressed by gender issues within a new reality in which she resented the fact that “the women greeted me with hostile eyes, and the men pursued me with their shallow cloying looks” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 30). One cannot forget that her autobiographical masterpiece is surprisingly dedicated to tropical animals not to human beings.

Considering Evelyn Scott’s painful isolation in Brazil, it is not surprising that Cyril Kay Scott (her only companion) be a constitutive part of her autobiographical discourse. However, the same does not apply to him who, as mentioned, was not exactly isolated.

What I would like to claim is that they experienced a gendered emotional exile because, although both of them were affected by the hardships of integration, they experienced and responded to these difficulties differently. So much so that Evelyn, at a certain point, would claim that “Men have their own engrossments and unless you exhibit your emotions they are apt to ignore troubles under which the helpless other-sex is being crushed” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 214), while Cyril Kay-Scott would, today we can say, candidly, claim that “In isolation, women who can’t make a bed, a pie, or a garden, make a situation”. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 123)

Evelyn is here complaining about a supposedly male mental framework in which, immersed in their own universe, men would have difficulty to face the basic aspects of
a couple relationship. Cyril, on the other hand, resources to irony to criticize a supposedly typical bourgeois female incapacity to deal with the hardships of life, particularly the household management within precarious contexts such as the one they were enduring in the backlands of Brazil.

Their differences in worldview are visible even in the titles of their books. Evelyn’s, *Escapade*, Cyril’s, *Life is too short*. The first one evoking the truth of a particular event, that is, a disastrous elopement of lovers who, on the spur of the moment, fled from the United States without passports, carrying only a few pieces of clothing in the uneasy historical pre-World War One context. The latter, suggesting a general statement about life regarded as ephemeral thus worthy of living it fully with all that it takes.

Regarding differences in style and worldviews, another point worth noticing is the dedications of the books. Reflecting his connection with social conventions, and the representation of family as a successful project, Cyril records in imposing capital letters “TO MY FAMILY OF ELEVEN CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN THIS BOOK IS DEDICATED”. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943)

Evelyn, in contrast, deconstructs conventional patterns of dedications to pay homage to her beloved exotic tropical animals (her true companions in Brazil) rather than to family or friends. She thus boldly writes down, not in imposing crying like capital letters but in elegant subtle italic:

*To Adám, the monkey; Dinah, the tan and white bitch; the armadillo, a small unrelenting secret; the owl; the hawk; the deer; the mangy [mendgi] little chicken who lived in a cotton nest after its leg was hurt. To the delicious goats, and all the little birds with sunken breasts and rigid claws – my friends who are dead, who loved me for no more than the food I gave them.* (SCOTT, 1995)

Contrary to Cyril Kay-Scott’s dedication, that explicitly displays a kind of reconciliation with his social and family network after the Brazilian adventure, Evelyn Scott’s suggests displacement from the family establishment and, at the same time, reveals her freedom of thought and artistic expression. Another illustration of how gender affects their perceptions of the exile experience can be seen in their reports about their short stay in the outskirts of Rio. Although Evelyn felt constrained by the difficulties with the new language and environment, she seemed willing to integrate and help her partner. She uses the inclusive first person plural pronoun to describe the activity of collecting insects for scientific purposes

*we went out every morning with our nets: two green gauze ones for butterflies, and two canvas sweeping bags for beetles and the like. [...] I wore an old khaki riding skirt divided in the middle, and John was in overalls with a beach hat too small for him perched high on his head.* (SCOTT, 1995, p. 19-20)

Evelyn’s active participation can also be seen when Cyril worked as accountant in one of
the Singer sewing machines firms. On a certain occasion he had problems regarding calculations in the booking of the firm and, again, Evelyn was by his side trying to help “[...] Not long ago there was a mistake in his accounts and he was unable to discover it. He brought home the long sheets of paper and we worked together over them” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 7)

Regarding these two specific situations it is interesting to notice Cyril’s difference in approaching the memory of events. About the collecting of specimens in Rio, unlike Evelyn, who uses the first-person plural pronoun, Cyril uses the non-inclusive first-person singular pronoun to describe the same event:

“Arriving in Rio de Janeiro, [...] I started at once my collecting trips around the Federal District. [...] I collected hard, going out every day all day.” (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 176-177)

Similarly, with regards to the accounts problems in the firm where he worked, Cyril, once more, resources to first-person singular pronoun to describe the situation:

“[...] So, a few at a time, I took jornal, ledger, store invoices, receipts of sales, records of installments payments, reports, and other things home at night and worked late over them. How I sweated over those books!” (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 179)

These are just some initial (perhaps still too generic) observations that might help contextualize autobiographical narratives that unveil important differences both in style and worldviews between Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott.

**The increasing process of isolation**

In order to better understand the couple’s process of isolation it is important to highlight that due to the lack of knowledge of the language as well as to gender roles of the time, Evelyn became more and more dependent of Cyril, therefore, more and more exposed to a process of extreme isolation. This mental process unveiled a profound sense of boredom in which the writer resented a systematic lack of occupation.

“[...] I want to feel, to feel anything – to purge myself of the heavy turgidness of the thoughts that come to me as I sit alone day after day with no definite occupation.” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 13)

Cyril as man and provider did not stop. He was always busy and to a certain extent happy with his Singer’s employee life, which allowed him to travel, better explore the country, and learn new things. While for Cyril the hard life of exile proved to be also a motivating experience, for Evelyn it was a torment dominated by isolation and nothing to be occupied with. It was almost impossible for Evelyn Scott to establish genuine contact with the new reality and when her companion was not home she simply “set there in the room with nothing at all to occupy me – nothing but my thoughts”. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 2) Her exasperating degree of isolation can...
be inferred through the use of metaphors expressing the idea of lack of occupation as drowning, becoming blind, and being imprisoned. Images that express impossibility of survival of the body itself.

I felt as if I was drowning in ennui. As if the sun had blinded me. Yes I was a blind person. In the long days when John was away I should have to sit there in my white empty prison, a prison in which nothing moved but the irradiation of the glare, in which there was no aim, no interest.(SCOTT, 1995, p. 30)

Despite the desire to write Evelyn Scott felt paralyzed by those conditions and tried to explain the drama to herself.

If my brain was dead my hands and arms were dead also. [...] I imagined myself bound in the heat forever. [...] The heat was cold. It burned like ice. I wanted to be a writer but I had nothing to write about.(SCOTT, 1995, p. 30)

For Evelyn Scott the intellectual paralysis seemed unbearable, “Ennui is the most awful thing I have ever had to bear. I sit here hour after hour and my brain is clasped with iron” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 38). It is a difficult emotional state for the writer for whom “When I wake in the down there is quiet at last, and I lie there in the cool shadow of loneliness.” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 40).

In the midst of such creativity and integration crisis one of her self-defense strategies against the complete lack of occupation was to develop true obsession for household tasks, “I did nothing but cook, and clean and splash buckets of water upon the brick floors. I had no interest in anything else” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 49-50). Evelyn Scott, as a pregnant woman in state of domestic hiper activity and as a writer going through a crisis of thinking, abandoned herself to the incapacity of putting in practice her desire to write, “I took a pencil and some paper from my handbag. If I could only write! But I had no thoughts. What possessed me completely was the faint disturbing náusea of my pregnancy” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 49-50).

**Extreme isolation: Cercadinho**

Finally, when everything seemed dramatically impossible for the couple’s survival, Cyril decided to quit everything and buy a piece of land in the backlands of Bahia with the intention of becoming a rancher to live beside Evelyn and their son in Cercadinho, a place near the small town of Villa Nova da Rainha, today, a medium size city known as Senhor do Bonfim. Living within a context of complete dependency, Evelyn accepted the situation and seemed not to have taken any part on the decision.

It took John three days to return to us [...] he wants to resign the Company, draw out our guarantee fund, buy some government land he has seen and stock it with sheep. [...] He will begin by asking a leave of absence on account of my health and in that way he can keep his salary until we have moved and are actually in the vicinity of the ranch. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 159)
For Cyril, the decision had been taken in order to stay closer to his family. But in addition to that he seemed excited about the new adventure. “We were pioneers” (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 218) he says referring to the family’s triumphal departure that, under his leadership, had traveled with

seven pack mules and ten men, six of the men with extra mules for riding, or in case of accident to pack animals, and four Indians on foot who carried two trunks strung on poles, exactly as the Bihéans in Africa carry a tepoaia. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 218)

As they arrived in Cercadinho, Cyril still seemed quite excited with the novelty showing himself particularly touched with the beauty of the region, “Our arrival at Cercadinho was a great event. The beauty of the place was breathtaking. No one could resist it. Even Mrs. Dunn agreed that it was a nice landscape” (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 218). And he was also proud of his achievements as house builder “The big palm-covered hut was there, and my women folk were agreeably surprised at its great size”. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 219)

In general, his descriptions about their moving into the backlands are as positive as possible, expressing emotions that encompass sensation of pioneerism and feeling of astonishment with the beauty of the surroundings. But Evelyn was undergoing a different emotional process. It was in Cercadinho that she experienced utmost isolation and had her interactional life reduced to the minimum, that is to say the contact with her unbalanced mother, who had left the United States to join them in Brazil, a maid, and her baby son.

While John was in the mountains measuring our land, Nanete, Estephania, Jackie, and myself, lived in a small dirty house [...] only two of the rooms had windows, but the doors at the back opened into a small overgrown garden with a high mold-green wall around. I felt lonely, separated from everything, like a sword pointing up, as if, in the pain of isolation, I were piercing heaven, piercing the world. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 167)

Although Cyril had resigned from Singer in order to stay closer to Evelyn, in Cercadinho, he still would have to be away from home, either to search for provisions in nearby villages, or to work the soil in order to make Cercadinho a more habitable place. So, Evelyn’s isolation grew bigger and bigger and she also began to miss a more intimate contact with her husband.

For some reason I imagined John had gone away from me forever, that he would never come back. I could, for the first time, see him whole, like an immortal, unbroken by words. Unable to send a message to me, he was gone for three weeks. His silence seemed to me beautiful, finished, but I wanted him again. I wanted to destroy his perfection with intimacy. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 167)

After approximately three years of isolation in the backlands of Bahia the dream of turning Cercadinho into a productive piece of land was destroyed by drought and a series of diseases that killed plants and animals forcing the couple to face bankruptcy, starvation, and despair.
Our ranch is an utter failure. [...] The sheep [...] die and die [...] Sometimes for weeks at a time we have no meat. The last we bought when we disposed of the sick mare and the hides of two of the sheep that were in a better condition than the rest. We eat a little piece and hang the remainder from the rafters, and every day, for as long as it lasts, we have a bit. I used to throw away the portions on which the flies had laid, but now I scrape the eggs and maggots away as best as I can and we have that, too.” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 229-30)

That extreme hardship of the situation made Evelyn feel as though they were “going mad, and [...] as drunk with suffering as any of the mule drivers are drunk with rum” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 236). However the wider historical context seemed to favor them according to Evelyn.

John heard same marvelous news. Because of the war “down there” a new mining company – an American company has begun to operate along the coast and is sending men into the interior to locate manganese workings – manganese for the making of steel armament. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 249)

In hearing the news Cyril begin to think about the American mining company as a escape for his family’s desperate situation. But in the meantime Evelyn tried to cheer herself up by doing something useful in favor of the humble locals who surrounded her.

As for myself, I am eternally in quest of an occupation which will distract me, and I am teaching Antonio and Jovina how to sign their names and to read. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 248)

Leaving Cercadinho

Bypassers were rare in Cercadinho but the few who passed confirmed the news of the recruitment of employees by the American mining company. Thus, when everything seemed absolutely hopeless for Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott, they saw the possibility of moving out with their family to the small town of Vila Nova, where he could work. Ironically the same War that in the past had forced them into isolation and exile would be now their only chance of making their way back to the United States.

The couple’s reports about Cyril’s decision to give up the dream of Cercadinho in exchange for an opportunity at the mining company converge. According to Cyril,

As soon as I verified the rumor I made a decision. In pursuance of it I walked the eleven kilometers over to my Negro neighbour Hylarião’s cabin. [...] And it was his clothes I wanted to borrow. [...] The suit (sky blue, as I have said, and gored in at the waist) fitted me terribly. [...] Also I was barefoot, but that didn’t matter, as I had gone barefooted for over a year and my feet were like sole leather. [...] At daybreak I said good-bye to my family and started through the canyon. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 245)

Evelyn seemed to agree about almost everything that was pointed out by Cyril and highlights that
John has determined to secure a position with the mining company. He borrowed some clothes from Tenente Alfredo Hylarião in Lamarão and has gone on foot to Vila Nova. When he left here he was barefoot for he had to save the shoes. The blue suit he wore, the work of a provincial tailor, was very tight in the trousers, very small in the waist – almost ridiculous. (SCOTT, 1995, p. 252)

A curious detail, though, calls the attention regarding the care given to Cyril’s appearance in order for him to make a good impression during the importante transition journey. While Evelyn says that she herself had “trimmed his beard and cut his hair, but he had no razor so he must wait to reach his destination before he can shave” (SCOTT, 1995, p. 252), Cyril gives all the glory of the care to their maid Stephania:

That evening Stephania cut off my long hair and I shaved my beard. The next morning she rose before dawn and got me coffee and breakfast. The shoes [...] she tied in her best gaily printed big cotton handkerchief she kept to wear on Sundays. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 245)

Furthermore he makes a point in saying that “she, by the way, is the heroine of this part of my tale”. (KAY-SCOTT, 1943, p. 213)

Final remarks

This article investigated issues of gender and emotion within the context of exile drawing from Evelyn Scott’s and Cyril Kay-Scott’s autobiographies related to their long stay in Brazil, from 1913 to 1919. With the outbreak of World War One they found themselves trapped in the country with no chance of going back home. As a result they made their way to the backlands of Bahia where they faced extreme poverty, isolation, and despair.

Evelyn Scott is today a well-known writer in the United States. She wrote many different genres, from poetry to prose. But it was in the confessional genre of autobiographies that the critics have recognized the highest literary quality of her work. Caroline Maun (2012), for example, points out Scott’s “fearless artistic vocation to address social issues through her modern and experimental form” (MAUN, 2012, p. 78), while the pioneer researcher Dorothy Scura (1985) praised the fine quality of Scott’s literary genius, referring to Escapade as a book that is “almost a feminist cri de coeur” (SCURA, 1985, p. 303). In Brazil, however, there is almost no record of her work except for the contributions of Otto Maria Carpeaux (1947), and Beatriz Jaguaribe (2000) whom, from different perspectives and in different historical contexts, brought to light Evelyn Scott’s work in the country.

Carpeaux, the Austrian-born writer who left his country before the deflagration of World War Two to adopt Brazil as his home, became a mainstream literary critic most interested in travel writers who had lived in the tropics, especially in Brazil. It did not take long for him to acknowledge Evelyn Scott’s genius. In a newspaper article published as far back as 1947
he highlighted the originality of Evelyn Scott’s modern autobiographical style and warned Brazilian translators that *Escapade* was a true masterpiece. On the other hand Jaguaribe’s academic research (2000, p. 163-192) discusses the representation of the Brazilian landscape as a force of nature and Brazil as a land of adventure to explain the Scotts’ choice for Brazil after the couple’s elopement in 1913.

Cyril Kay-Scott was a doctor of tropical medicine, scientist, and educator. But he also had an artistic vein, and, besides his Brazilian autobiography he composed some plays, short stories, and poems. However he did not become the established writer that Evelyn Scott did. In Brazil, he remains practically unknown, for there is no record of systematic academic research about his work.

For a better understanding of gender and emotion in Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott’s experience and discourse, I would like to point out that their saga in Brazil has two landmarks: life before the backlands, when they spend some time in Rio de Janeiro imagining that they could become researchers of tropical specimens, and life in the backlands, when they got over that naturalist dream and dived into the harsh reality of survival. This device is important because it shows how gender issues had affected the couple’s painful process of adaptation in exile and all the decisions taken within that context. Cyril Kay-Scott’s career and actions define all their steps, from the brief stay in the republican capital of Rio de Janeiro to the definitive move into Cercadinho, in the heart of the then untouched backlands of Bahia.

In conclusion I would like to emphasize that Evelyn Scott and Cyril Kay-Scott’s autobiographical discourses show that both of them went through enormous material as well as existential difficulties in Brazil. But they lived under a complex range of emotions that was significantly shaped by gender issues. Within that complex emotional framework, isolation strikes Evelyn Scott in a particularly destructive way. As a result, the writer constructs a dark autobiographical account of her Brazilian experience, especially with regards to the hardships found in Bahia. Although she uses beautiful poetical forms to describe the landscape, most of the images evoked by her poetical language are disturbing, indicative that virtually everything in the country seemed to her ugly, painful, and difficult. Differently, for Cyril Kay-Scott, the experience, although painful and difficult, had a flavour of adventure and pioneerism. His account shows enthusiasm with the possibility of exploring the new landscape, of challenging nature: from the power of the Amazon River to the inhospitable climate of the backlands.

While it is hoped that this article may contribute to disseminate Evelyn Scot’s life and work both among scholars and the general public, it is also believed that it may raise awareness to the importance of further research on the role of gender and emotion in experiences involving couples in isolation.
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