



CLIMATIC CONDITIONS DRIVE THE ABUNDANCE AND DIVERSITY OF SPIDERS COMMUNITY IN AN ATLANTIC FOREST FRAGMENT

Renan de Brito Pitilin^{1}, Jamir Prado-Junior¹, Antonio Domingos Brescovi² & Maria Luisa Tunes Buschini³*

¹Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação de Recursos Naturais, Campus Umuarama, bloco 2D, CEP 38405-320, Uberlândia, MG, Brazil.

²Instituto Butantan, Laboratório Especial de Coleções Zoológicas, Av. Vital Brasil, nº 1500, CEP 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

³Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Evolutiva, Rua Simeão Varela de Sá, nº 03, CEP 85040-080, Guarapuava, Paraná, PR, Brazil.

E-mails: pitilin_tj@hotmail.com (*corresponding author); jamirjunior@yahoo.com.br; adbresc@terra.com.br; isatunes@yahoo.com.br

Abstract: Spiders are very sensitive to variations in abiotic conditions. Here, we evaluated to what extent the variation in monthly rainfall and mean temperature can affect spiders community attributes. To this aim, we conducted an inventory of spiders species in a mixed ombrophilous forest fragment, using three different methods (beating tray, nocturnal manual collection and pitfall traps) over July 2010 and June 2011. The influence of monthly rainfall and mean temperature in spiders richness and abundance were evaluated using linear mixed models. We sampled 5,416 spiders belonging to 142 morphospecies and 28 families. These values were higher than those found in other studies conducted in temperate regions, and similar to those values found in tropical regions. Monthly mean temperature positively affected abundance and richness of adults, and negatively affected the abundance of immature spiders. Monthly rainfall was negatively related to abundance of immature individuals. Species composition also differed between the hottest and coldest months. Our study helps us to understand the role of climatic conditions on spiders communities, and highlights that even small variations in these conditions can directly affect richness, abundance and species composition of spiders.

Keywords: Araucaria Forest; community structure; Parque Municipal das Araucárias; spiders inventory; temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Climatic conditions have strong effects on the structure, diversity and species composition of natural communities (Chapin *et al.* 2000). Evaluating how differences in environmental conditions influence these communities attributes

can help us to predict the impact of climate changes on biodiversity (Pecl *et al.* 2017). A general pattern commonly found is that water availability (*e.g.*, high rainfall and lower seasonality) and temperature play an important role as environmental filters, and are positively related to species diversity and abundance (Duivenvoorden *et al.* 2002, Raymundo *et al.* 2018),

and to ecosystem productivity and dynamics (Prado-Junior *et al.* 2017).

Although some studies have evaluated the relationships between climatic conditions and populations of spiders (Romero & Vasconcellos-Neto 2003, Messas *et al.* 2017, Villanueva-Bonilha *et al.* 2018), we still poorly understand how these relationships occur at the community level. The influence of monthly rainfall and mean temperature in spiders communities may have multiple simultaneous effects. For instance, they can influence habitat structure and the selection of habitats by spiders (Uetz 1991), affecting the availability of prey and the establishment of different arthropods populations (Wise 1993, Arango & Rico-Gray 2000). Moreover, climatic variables can directly influence population dynamics and the reproductive and foraging periods of spiders (Romero & Vasconcellos-Neto 2003, Villanueva-Bonilha & Vasconcellos-Neto 2016).

Inventory studies on spiders diversity and biogeography have fastly improved in the last decades (Nogueira *et al.* 2006, Podgaiski *et al.* 2007, Ricetti & Bonaldo 2008, Rodrigues 2011). However, few studies have been conducted in the Brazilian mixed ombrophilous forest (also known as the Araucaria Forest; Baldissera *et al.* 2004, Baldissera *et al.* 2008, Indicatti *et al.* 2008). The Brazilian mixed ombrophilous forest is considered one the most threatened phytophysiognomy in Brazil (Medeiros 2005), and we must improve our data of spiders abundance and diversity in this phytophysiognomy. Moreover, the mixed ombrophilous forest evaluated in this study experience a subtropical climate, with weak seasonality in rainfall but a marked variation in monthly mean temperature, supporting interesting experiments to evaluate to what extent temperature and rainfall variations can affect spiders communities attributes.

In this study, we conducted the first standardized inventory of spiders in a fragment of a Araucaria Forest in the center-south region of the state of Paraná. Our aims were 1) to evaluate the spiders species composition and the community attributes (abundance, species richness and diversity) in the Parque Municipal das Araucárias over one-year sampling; and 2) evaluate to what extent the variation in monthly rainfall and mean temperature can affect these community attributes. We hypothesized that the abundance and richness of spider species would

be positively related to monthly rainfall and mean temperature.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area and sampling design

This study was conducted at the Parque Municipal das Araucárias (25°23'36"S, 51°27'19"W; altitude 1120 m a.s.l.), located in the municipality of Guarapuava, Paraná state, Brazil. This park has approximately 104 ha, covered by several vegetation types, including Araucaria Forest (42.75%), gallery forest (10.09%), swamp (7.13%), open grasslands (6.8%), and altered areas (33.23%) (Maack 2012). The region experiences a subtropical climate, with warm summers and no dry season (Cfb, *sensu* Köppen-Geiger climatic classification). Mean annual rainfall is 1770 mm, and rainfall is well distributed over the year (Alvares *et al.* 2014). Monthly mean temperature during the summer and winter are 19.1°C and 14.4°C, respectively (Alvares *et al.* 2014).

Our sampling was carried out between July 2010 and June 2011 (all done by two collectors simultaneously), two expeditions in each month. To improve our sampling effort (as different spiders species occupy different habitats, such as arboreal, shrubby, and soil), we used three different sampling methods, as suggested by Coddington *et al.* (1991): beating tray (BT), nocturnal manual collection (NMC) and pitfall traps (PT). BT allows the access of tall herbs, small and medium-sized shrubs, small trees and large tree branches, and was used to sample mainly arboreal (Dias *et al.* 2006, Podgaiski *et al.* 2007). NMC was carried out using headlamps and was used to access animals active at night, including those that walk over soil or vegetation up to 2 m high (Brescovit *et al.* 2004, Dias *et al.* 2006). Both BT and NMC methods were conducted along three transects of 30 m (length) x 10 m (width), placed at least 200 m far from each other, and each transect represented a 50-minute period of search. Hence, our sampling effort for those methods resulted 72 sample units = 2 methods (BT and NMC) x 3 transects x 12 months. The third method (PT) was used to increase the number of spider records in the study area. It is an ideal method for wandering and tube spiders that move over the litter (Curtis 1980, Raub *et al.* 2014). There were four expeditions (July and October 2010, and January and May 2011), and 100 pitfall traps

were used in each expedition. The traps were made with 500 ml plastic cups of 8 cm of opening diameter buried in the soil and kept in the field during five days. Ethanol at 70% was used as preserving solution. All these methods are described in detail by Coddington *et al.* (1991) and Brescovit *et al.* (2004).

Spiders were identified by Antonio Brescovit (third author of this manuscript) to species level when possible, and only adult specimens were considered. Immature individuals were identified to families level. The material yielded by this study was deposited in the collection of Arachnida of the Instituto Butantan (IBSP, curator: A. D. Brescovit).

Statistical analyses

To describe the attributes of spiders community at the Parque Municipal das Araucárias, we evaluated total abundance of spiders (immature and adult individuals, separately) and species diversity (adult spiders). We used three different diversity metrics: absolute species richness (S), Shannon diversity index (H'), and Chao1 estimator of richness. Absolute species richness is most often used, whereas H' also incorporates information on species abundance and equability. Chao1 estimator was performed using 100 permutations, and take into account the proportion of rare and abundant species, and are least-biased and an efficient method to estimate the total richness from a sampling area (Scharff *et al.* 2003).

To evaluate the relationships between climatic data (monthly rainfall and mean temperature) and spiders community attributes (abundance and species richness), we performed generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) including sampling method and transect as random effects (to account for the nestedness and the lack of independence among the sampling methods and transects among months). GLMM were fitted using a Poisson error distribution because they are better suited in dealing with count data and “zero observations” (O’Hara & Kotze 2010). The full model was therefore:

$$\text{Community attribute} = \beta_1 \text{MMTemp} + \beta_2 \text{MRain} + (1|\text{Transect}) + (1|\text{Method})$$

where Community attribute is the (1) abundance and (2) richness of adult spiders, or the (3) abundance of immature spiders; MMTemp is the monthly mean temperature; MRain = monthly rainfall; β are the standardized coefficients; and Transect and Method are the random effect terms of the linear mixed models.

We used all subsets multiple regression analysis and selected the models that had lowest corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc), considering the null model (only intercept). Models were considered to be equally supported if the difference in AICc was lower than two units. When models were equally supported, we selected the most parsimonious model (*i.e.*, with lowest number of predictors; Prado-Junior *et al.* 2016). The relative importance of each predictor (monthly mean rainfall and temperature) was compared based on their standardized regression coefficients (β) retained in the best multiple regression model. Monthly mean rainfall and temperature were obtained from the meteorological station SIMEPAR (Sistema Meteorológico do Paraná), located 3.9 km from our study area (Figure 1).

Differences in species composition among monthly mean temperature and rainfall were tested using a PERMANOVA (Anderson 2001) and based on an abundance species matrix comprising all adult individuals (999 permutations and Bray-Curtis as a distance measure). The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in species composition among different climatic conditions. To evaluate which species mostly contributed to differences in species composition between the hot (November 2010 to April 2011) and cold periods (July to October 2010, and May to June 2011; Figure 1), we performed a similarity percentages test (SIMPER, based on Bray-Curtis distances matrix and 999 permutations). This analysis compared the relative abundance of each species between the six hottest and six coldest months.

All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.1.2 (R Core Team 2017). Linear mixed models were performed using the “lme4” package (Bates *et al.* 2012) and all subsets regression analyses using the “MuMIn” package (Barton 2009). Chao1 estimator, PERMANOVA and SIMPER analyses were performed using the “vegan” package (Oksanen *et al.* 2014).

RESULTS

Abundance and diversity of spiders at the Parque Municipal das Araucárias

Over the whole year of sampling (July 2010 to July 2011) and using the three sampling methods, a total of 5,416 spiders were sampled, which 3,910




Figure 1. Monthly rainfall and mean temperature over the study sampling (July 2010 and June 2011) obtained from the meteorological station SIMEPAR (Sistema Meteorológico do Paraná). Bars indicate monthly rainfall and line indicate monthly mean temperature.

(72.2%) were immature individuals, and 1,506 (27.8%) were adult individuals (Table 1). Among the 28 families sampled, four presented only immature individuals: Amaurobiidae, Miturgidae, Oxyopidae and Selenopidae. Among the 24 families represented by adult individuals, 142 morphospecies were recognized (Table 1). The richest and most abundant families were Theridiidae (N = 31 morphospecies), Araneidae (N = 26) and Salticidae

(N = 22), covering together 68.8% of sampled adult individuals. The five most abundant species were *Gelanor* sp. 1, *Maeota dichrura*, *Mangora strenua*, *Acacesia* aff. *hamata* and *Alpaida grayi*, covering 32.6% of sampled individuals. Shannon diversity index was 3.95, and the estimated species richness for the studied area was 183 ± 18 species based on Chao1.

Table 1. Species/morphospecies list for spiders sampled in the Parque Municipal das Araucárias, municipality of Guarapuava, state of Paraná, Brazil, with their respective abundance. Immature individuals were identified to families level.

Taxon	Adults	Immature
Amaurobiidae		6
Anyphaenidae		488
<i>Anyphaenoides clavipes</i> (Mello Leitão, 1922)	1	
<i>Aysha ericae</i> Brescovit 1992	33	
<i>Aysha</i> gr. <i>brevimana</i>	4	
<i>Aysha guarapuava</i> Brescovit 1992	4	
<i>Aysha tertulia</i> Brescovit 1992	1	
<i>Tasata</i> sp. 1	1	
Araneidae		1093
<i>Acacesia</i> aff. <i>hamata</i>	89	
<i>Alpaida grayi</i> (Blackwall, 1863)	83	
<i>Alpaida</i> sp. 1	2	
<i>Alpaida</i> sp. 2	2	

Table 1. Continued on next page...

Table 1. ...Continued

Taxon	Adults	Immature
<i>Alpaida</i> sp. 3	2	
<i>Araneus</i> sp. 1	11	
<i>Araneus vincibilis</i> (Keyserling, 1893)	24	
<i>Cyclosa fililineata</i> (Hingston, 1932)	1	
<i>Cyclosa</i> sp. 1	7	
<i>Eustala</i> sp. 1	14	
<i>Eustala</i> sp. 2	1	
<i>Mangora strenua</i> (Keyserling, 1893)	99	
<i>Micrathena crassispina</i> (C.L. Koch, 1836)	19	
<i>Micrathena nigrichelis</i> (Strand, 1908)	72	
<i>Micrathena</i> sp. 1	6	
<i>Micrathena</i> sp. 2	5	
<i>Micrathena</i> sp. 3	1	
<i>Micrathena</i> sp. 4	1	
<i>Micrathena spitzi</i> (Mello-Leitão, 1932)	8	
<i>Ocrepeira covillei</i> (Levi, 1993)	6	
<i>Parawixia audax</i> (Blackwall, 1863)	24	
<i>Parawixia</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Parawixia velutina</i> (Taczanowski, 1878)	1	
<i>Verrucosa</i> sp. 1	25	
<i>Wagneriana iguape</i> (Levi, 1991)	5	
<i>Wagneriana</i> sp. 1	15	
Corinidae		21
<i>Castianeira</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Corinna</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Trachelas</i> sp. 1	10	
Ctenidae		79
<i>Phoneutria nigriventer</i> (Keyserling, 1891)	1	
Deinopidae		16
<i>Deinops</i> sp. 1	19	
Dictynidae		
<i>Dictyna</i> sp. 1	1	
Dipluridae		11
<i>Diplura</i> sp. 1	2	
Linyphiidae		30
<i>Anodoration</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Dubiaranea</i> sp. 1	3	
Linyphiidae sp. 1	5	

Table 1. Continued on next page...

Table 1. ...Continued

Taxon	Adults	Immature
Linyphiidae sp. 2	2	
Linyphiidae sp. 3	2	
Linyphiidae sp. 4	1	
<i>Meioneta</i> sp. 1	2	
<i>Meioneta</i> sp. 2	16	
<i>Mermessus</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Moyosi</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Neomaso</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Smermisia</i> sp. 1	14	
<i>Smermisia vicosana</i> (Bishop & Crosby, 1938)	2	
<i>Sphecozone novaetunoniae</i> (Baert, 1987)	5	
<i>Sphecozone</i> sp. 1	16	
<i>Sphecozone</i> sp. 2	2	
<i>Theridion</i> sp. 1	3	
Lycosidae		46
<i>Aglaoctenus lagotis</i> (Holmberg, 1876)	2	
<i>Hogna</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Lycosa</i> gr. <i>sericovittata</i> (Mello-Leitão, 1939)	12	
Mimetidae		326
<i>Gelanor</i> sp. 1	110	
Miturgidae		6
Mysmenidae		14
<i>Mymena</i> sp. 1	7	
Nemesiidae		
<i>Stenoterommata</i> sp. 1	1	
Nephiliidae		
<i>Nephila clavipes</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	1	
Nesticidae		
<i>Nesticus</i> sp. 1	5	
Oonopidae		
<i>Orchestina</i> sp. 1	1	
Oxyopidae		18
Salticidae		451
<i>Dendryphantinae</i> sp. 1	28	
Formiciforme sp. 1	1	
<i>Lyssomanes</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Lyssomanes</i> sp. 2	9	
<i>Maeota dichrura</i> (Mello-Leitão, 1917)	110	

Table 1. Continued on next page...

Table 1. ...Continued

Taxon	Adults	Immature
Salticidae sp. 1	25	
Salticidae sp. 2	9	
Salticidae sp. 3	3	
Salticidae sp. 4	1	
Salticidae sp. 5	1	
Salticidae sp. 6	1	
Salticidae sp. 7	1	
Salticidae sp. 8	2	
Salticidae sp. 9	3	
Salticidae sp. 10	2	
Salticidae sp. 11	2	
Salticidae sp. 12	1	
Salticidae sp. 13	1	
Salticidae sp. 14	1	
Salticidae sp. 15	2	
<i>Thiodina</i> sp. 1	13	
<i>Thiodina</i> sp. 2	1	
Scytodidae		51
<i>Scytodes chapeco</i> Rheims and Brescovit 2009	19	
<i>Scytodes globula</i> (Nicolet, 1849)	3	
<i>Scytodes</i> sp. 1	1	
Selenopidae		1
Senoculidae		30
<i>Senoculus</i> sp. 1	17	
Tetragnathidae		157
<i>Chrysometa</i> sp. 1	9	
<i>Chrysometa</i> sp. 2	3	
<i>Leucauge</i> sp. 1	6	
<i>Leucauge</i> sp. 2	9	
<i>Leucauge</i> sp. 3	2	
<i>Tetragnatha</i> sp. 1	2	
Theridiidae		545
<i>Cryptachaea hirta</i> (Taczanowski, 1873)	1	
<i>Cryptachaea</i> sp. 1	46	
<i>Cryptachaea</i> sp. 2	7	
<i>Anelosimus</i> sp. 1	2	
<i>Argyrodes</i> sp. 1	2	

Table 1. Continued on next page...

Table 1. ...Continued

Taxon	Adults	Immature
<i>Argyrodes elevatus</i> Taczanowski 1873	3	
<i>Chrosiothes</i> sp. 1	5	
<i>Phycosoma altum</i> (Keyserling, 1886)	1	
<i>Dipoena</i> sp. 1	8	
<i>Dipoena</i> sp. 2	1	
<i>Dipoena</i> sp. 3	3	
<i>Dipoena</i> sp. 4	3	
<i>Dipoena woytkowskii</i> Levi 1963	1	
<i>Euryopsis</i> sp. 1	17	
<i>Faiditus</i> sp. 1	16	
<i>Faiditus</i> sp. 2	6	
<i>Hetschkia gracilis</i> Keyserling 1886	1	
<i>Phoroncidia</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Platnickina mneon</i> (Bösenberg & Strand, 1906)	4	
Theridiidae sp. 1	1	
Theridiidae sp. 2	3	
Theridiidae sp. 3	1	
Theridiidae sp. 4	3	
Theridiidae sp. 5	4	
Theridiidae sp. 6	1	
<i>Theridion calcynatum</i> Holmberg 1876	39	
<i>Theridion</i> sp. 1	38	
<i>Theridion</i> sp. 2	4	
<i>Thwaitesia affinis</i> O. P.-Cambridge 1882	28	
<i>Thymoites</i> sp. 1	25	
<i>Tidarren sisyphoides</i> (Walckenaer, 1841)	12	
Theridiosomatidae		5
<i>Naatlo</i> sp. 1	1	
Thomisidae		400
<i>Deltoclita</i> sp. 1	10	
<i>Epicadinus</i> sp. 1	4	
Thomisidae sp. 1	7	
<i>Misumena</i> sp. 1	2	
<i>Misumenops</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Sidymella</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Tmarus</i> sp. 1	1	
<i>Tmarus</i> sp. 2	13	
<i>Tmarus</i> sp. 3	1	

Table 1. Continued on next page...

Table 1. ...Continued

Taxon	Adults	Immature
<i>Tmarus</i> sp. 4	8	
Uloboridae		108
<i>Miagrammopes</i> sp. 1	3	
<i>Uloborus</i> sp. 1	39	
<i>Zosis</i> sp. 1	8	
Zodariidae		8
<i>Tenedos</i> sp. 1	1	

Relationships between the climatic data and the richness and abundance of spiders

Multiple regression analysis indicated that abundance and richness of spiders were mostly affected by monthly mean temperature, and to a less extent to monthly rainfall (Figure 2, Appendix S1). Monthly mean temperature was positively related to adults abundance ($\beta = 0.434$) and richness ($\beta = 0.302$), and negatively to the abundance of immature individuals ($\beta = -0.159$). Monthly rainfall was negatively related to abundance of immature individuals ($\beta = -0.050$) (Figure 2, Appendix S1).

PERMANOVA detected significant species compositional differences among monthly mean temperatures (pseudo- $F_{1,11} = 2.18$, $p < 0.05$), but not among monthly rainfall (pseudo- $F_{1,11} = 1.04$, $p = 0.38$). The SIMPER analysis identified the species exhibiting an increased contribution to dissimilarity between the six months with lower mean temperature and six months with higher mean temperature (Table 2). The relative abundance of four species (*Acacesia* aff. *hamata*, *Cryptachaea* sp. 1, *Theridion calcynatum* and *Verrucosa* sp. 1) was significantly higher in the six hottest months, and none species had relative abundance significantly higher in the six coldest months.

DISCUSSION

We aimed to evaluate the abundance and diversity of spiders at the Parque Municipal das Araucárias (Paraná state), and assessed the relationships between the climatic data (monthly rainfall and mean temperature) and those metrics of spiders community. Our results indicated high values of richness and diversity of spiders, highlighting the importance of the Parque Municipal das Araucárias

as a refugia for the regional pool of spiders species. Monthly mean temperature and rainfall were significantly related to abundance and richness of spiders, corroborating our hypothesis that climate conditions play an important role on the structure and richness of spiders communities.

Abundance and diversity of spiders at the Parque Municipal das Araucárias

Although the study area experience a subtropical climate, the richness and diversity of spiders were similar to some studies conducted in tropical regions (Bonaldo & Dias 2010, Cabra-Garcia *et al.* 2010) and higher than other studies conducted in Brazilian subtropical regions (Baldissera *et al.* 2004, Lopes *et al.* 2008, Baldissera & Silva 2010). According to Baldissera *et al.* (2008), variations in the structural complexity of each environment can influence the diversity of the araneofauna. The high diversity of spiders found in this study may be related to the use of different sampling methods (beating tray, nocturnal manual collection and pitfall traps), and the one-year round of sampling. This methodology allowed us to sample a wide diversity of spiders (composed by different guilds), and highlights the importance of applying seasonal samples and with different methods to reach accurate estimations of spiders community attributes. Moreover, as local biodiversity is usually higher inside than outside terrestrial protected areas (Gray *et al.* 2016), our results may indicate the importance of the Parque Municipal das Araucárias as a refugia for the regional pool of spiders species.

The most representative families (considering abundance and species richness) were Theridiidae, Araneidae and Salticidae. This pattern is usually found in most of the inventories carried out in Neotropical forests (Brescovit *et al.* 2011). Baldissera

et al. (2004) and Podgaiski *et al.* (2007), for example, observed that more than 50% of the species sampled were represented by these three families in an Araucaria Forest and a deciduous forest, respectively. The widespread distribution of these families is related to their high species diversity and their ability to colonize different types of habitats both dense forests and more open environments (forests gaps and savannas) (Brescovit *et al.* 2009).

In our survey, the five most abundant species were *Gelanor* sp. 1, *M. dichrura*, *M. strenua*, *A. aff. hamata* and *A. grayi*. The high abundance of *Mangora strenua*, *Acacesia* aff. *hamata* and *Alpaida grayi* (all Araneidae) was also observed in other studies in the Brazilian southern region (Baldissera *et al.* 2004, Raub *et al.* 2014, Rodrigues

et al. 2016), indicating that they are common species with high representativeness in this region. These species have predominantly nocturnal foraging and construct orbicular webs associated with the vegetation (Brescovit *et al.* 2009). The high abundance of *Gelanor* sp. 1 (Mimetidae) and *Maeota dichrura* (Salticidae) is a new result for the region. Both species are cursorial hunters and hunt down their preys without making use of the a spider web (Brescovit *et al.* 2009). Although species composition usually changes over different environments, future studies evaluating the abundance, diversity and species composition over a large scale are promising and necessary to improve our understanding in spiders species biogeography.

Table 2. SIMPER (Similarity Percentages) analysis for the twenty most abundant spiders species sampled in the Parque Municipal das Araucárias, and their relative contribution to the dissimilarity in species composition between the six hottest and coldest months. Contribution = Species percentage contribution to dissimilarity; Cumulative = Cumulative dissimilarity contribution; Period = Average species abundance in hot (November 2010 to April 2011) and cold periods (July to October 2010, and May to June 2011; p-value = Permutation p-value (bold numbers indicate significant differences in relative abundance considering an alpha of 0.05).

Species	Contribution	Cumulative	Period		p-value
			Cold	Hot	
<i>Gelanor</i> sp.1	0.060	6.535	1.244	1.180	0.83
<i>Maeota dichrura</i>	0.058	12.869	0.854	1.500	0.48
<i>Mangora strenua</i>	0.048	18.099	0.244	1.780	0.22
<i>Acacesia</i> aff. <i>hamata</i>	0.044	22.892	0.195	1.620	0.01
<i>Micrathena nigrichelis</i>	0.044	27.674	0.634	0.920	0.88
<i>Alpaida grayi</i>	0.043	32.300	0.415	1.320	0.10
<i>Theridion</i> sp. 1	0.028	35.377	0.293	0.580	0.32
<i>Cryptachaea</i> sp. 1	0.026	38.188	0.000	0.920	0.01
<i>Theridion calcynatum</i>	0.023	40.707	0.098	0.700	0.04
<i>Uloborus</i> sp.	0.022	43.086	0.293	0.540	0.69
Dendryphantinae sp. 1	0.021	45.339	0.195	0.400	0.38
<i>Euryopsis</i> sp.1	0.020	47.555	0.171	0.180	0.28
<i>Aysha ericae</i>	0.018	49.522	0.024	0.640	0.08
Salticidae sp. 1	0.016	51.280	0.073	0.440	0.09
<i>Thwaitesia affinis</i>	0.016	53.006	0.171	0.420	0.58
<i>Lycosa sericovittata</i>	0.015	54.644	0.049	0.200	0.08
<i>Thymoites</i> sp. 1	0.014	56.198	0.171	0.160	0.60
<i>Scytodes chapeco</i>	0.014	57.687	0.122	0.280	0.50
<i>Verrucosa</i> sp. 1	0.013	59.107	0.024	0.480	0.02
<i>Parawixia audax</i>	0.013	60.514	0.244	0.280	0.81




Figure 2. Relationships between monthly rainfall and mean temperature and the spiders community attributes (abundance and richness) for adults (closed circles) and immature individuals (open circles). Richness of immature individuals was not included as they were identified into family level.

Relationships between the climatic data and the richness and abundance of spiders

We hypothesized that the abundance and richness of spider species would be positively related to monthly rainfall and mean temperature, as spiders are very sensitive to abiotic conditions, mainly climatic (Wise 1993). We indeed found higher abundance and richness of adult spiders with increasing monthly mean temperature, while we found no relationships with monthly rainfall. Similar results were found in other studies conducted in southern Brazil, indicating higher abundance and richness of adult individuals in the warmer compared to the colder period (Baldissera *et al.* 2004, Podgaiski *et al.* 2007, Rodrigues 2011). According to Dias *et al.* (2006) the influence of temperature and rainfall variation in spiders communities change according to the vegetation type and geographical region. For instance, in areas with markedly rainfall seasonality, higher abundance and species richness were found during the rainy season (Azevedo *et al.* 2014). Seasonal patterns for spider assemblages may vary even in different regions of the same state but that are composed of different environments (Rodrigues *et al.* 2016), indicating that each biome has species specifically adapted to survive under the climatic and environmental constraints of the habitat in which they occur. Our results highlight that monthly mean temperature, independent of rainfall, play an important role in the abundance and diversity of spiders community structure and diversity (even when seasonality in rainfall is weak or absent). The annual variation in spider's abundance and richness is probably related to their reproductive period, when most groups of spiders, especially those with short life cycle and low survival rates, concentrate their reproductive events in hotter periods, when the food availability is higher (Lubin 1978, Azevedo *et al.* 2014).

When considering the abundance of immature spiders, however, an opposite trend was found compared to the adult spiders, *i.e.*, we found more immature spiders in months with lower mean temperature and rainfall. Similar results were found by Arango & Rico-Gray (2000) and Rodrigues (2011), where the pattern of abundance diverges from adults being more abundant in autumn and less abundant in spring. According to Villanueva-

Bonilla *et al.* (2018) the peak of adult abundance (reproductive period) of some species occurs in the spring, followed by the deposition of the egg-sacs, with juvenile occurrence in late summer and autumn and subadult in winter. However, for spiders *Misumenops argenteus* the peak of young was found in warm months (February and March) with decline in winter (July). The seasonality of young spiders varies according to the phenology of each species and closely follows the changes in the physical environment of each site (Uetz 1991, Rodrigues 2011).

As monthly mean temperature was the strongest predictor of adults' abundance and richness, we assessed the differences in species composition between the warmer and colder periods. We found that species composition was different between hotter and dryer periods, and the relative abundance of six species (*A. aff. hamata*, *Cryptachaea* sp. 1, *T. calcynatum*, *A. ericae*, *Verrucosa* sp. 1 and Salticidae sp. 1) was significantly higher in the hotter period. Our findings could be possibly related to a well-defined reproductive period for these species, in which sexually active males are only available for a few months (Merrett 1967) or when the availability of prey should be greater (Romero & Vasconcellos-Neto 2003). On the other hand, for other abundant species such as *Gelanor* sp. 1, *M. dichrura*, *M. strenua* and *A. grayi*, the relative abundance was not different over the year. Our results indicate distinct thermal preferences among spider species (Almquist 1970, Frick *et al.* 2007). According to Chatzaki *et al.* (2005), the seasonality in spiders activities is mainly influenced by photoperiod and temperature, and the relationships between those climatic conditions and the physiological tolerances are strongly different among the species.

Although most spider species are frequently recorded during the entire year (*e.g.*, Rodrigues 2005, Podgaiski *et al.* 2007, Rodrigues 2011), some species are more active during hot periods, when they hunt more actively, feed and reproduce, being able to explore different microhabitat in each season trying to escape adverse conditions (Arango & Rico-Gray 2000, Avalos *et al.* 2007, Azevedo *et al.* 2014). As our study area usually experience frosts during the cold period (according to the Sistema Meteorológico do Paraná, SIMEPAR), the higher abundance of some species during periods of high temperature could be a strategy to compensate the harsh conditions over

the cold period. Seasonal changes in community structure (*e.g.*, fluctuation in species composition throughout the year) can affect the whole food chain of an environmental.

Recent studies show that natural communities are shifting in species composition due to climatic changes (Raymundo *et al.* 2018). Our study indicates that the abundance and richness of spiders' communities is related to variations in mean temperature. Spiders play a significant ecological role in ecosystems as they are part of the diet of many birds and lizards species (Spiller & Schoener 1998, Gunnarsson 2007), and are important predators of arthropods, controlling the population of herbivorous insects (Polis & Strong 1996). Understanding how differences in temperature conditions influence spiders communities (and consequently the related food chain) can help us to predict the impact of climate changes on biodiversity. Future studies at large scales on biogeography and dynamics of spiders communities and their relationships with climatic variation are needed, and could provide more insights into these communities responses and resilience to global change.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful for the financial support provided by CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and the logistical support provided by Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Evolutiva UEPG/UNICENTRO (Guarapuava – PR, Brazil), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação de Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU) and CNPq (grant to ADB, PQ 301776/2004-0). We also thank to two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments in our manuscript, and to João Lucas, Talissa Calikevstz, Cristiano Pereira, Huilquer Volguel e Cris Hiert, for their help in the field sampling.

REFERENCES

- Almquist, S. 1970. Thermal tolerances and preferences of some dune-living spiders. *Oikos*, 21(2), 230–235. DOI: 10.2307/3543678
- Alvares, C. A., Stape, J. L., Sentelhas, P. C., Gonçalves, J. L. D. M., & Sparovek, G. 2014. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. 22(6), 711–28. DOI: 10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0507
- Anderson, M. J. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology*, 26(1), 32–46. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x
- Arango, A. M., & Rico-Gray, V. 2000. Population structure, seasonality, and habitat use by the green lynx spider *Peucetia viridians* (Oxyopidae) inhabiting *Cnidocolus aconitifolius* (Euphorbiaceae). *Journal of Arachnology*, 28, 185–194. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x
- Avalos, G., Rubio, G. D., Bar, M. E., & González, A. 2007. Arañas (Arachnida: Araneae) asociadas a dos bosques degradados Del Chaco húmedo em Corrientes, Argentina. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 55(3–4), 899–909. DOI: 10.1111/acad.12061
- Azevedo, G. H. F., Faleiro, B. T., Magalhães, I. L. F., Benedetti, A. R., Oliveira, U., Pena Barbosa J. P. P., Santos, M. T. T., Vilela, P. F., De Maria, M., & Santos, A. J. 2014. Effectiveness of sampling methods and further sampling for accessing spider diversity: a case study in a Brazilian Atlantic rainforest fragment. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 7, 381–391. DOI: 10.1111/acad.12061
- Baldissera, R., & Silva, V. R. 2010. Diversidade e composição de aranhas arbustivas em um fragmento de Mata Atlântica e duas áreas adjacentes. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation*, 5(2), 77–85. DOI: 10.4013/nbc.2010.52.02
- Baldissera, R., Bach, R., Lima, R. P., Menegassi, A., Piovesan, A. R., & Fonseca, G. C. 2008. Distribution of understory web building spiders along an interface area of Araucaria forest and *Pinus* plantation in southern Brazil. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation*, 3, 3–8. DOI: 10.1636/P15-24
- Baldissera, R., Ganade, G., & Fontoura, S. B. 2004. Web spider community response along an edge between pasture and Araucaria forest. *Biological Conservation*, 118, 403–409. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2003.09.017
- Barton, K. 2009. MuMIn: multi-model inference, R package version 0.12.0. <http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/mumin/>.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., & Bolker, B. 2012. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4 classes. R

- package version 0.999375-42; 2011. Reference Source.
- Bonaldo, A. B., & Dias, S. C. 2010. A structured inventory of spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) in natural and artificial forest gaps at Porto Urucu, Western Brazilian Amazonia. *Acta Amazonica*, 40, 357–372. DOI: 10.1590/S0044-59672010000200014
- Brescovit, A. D., Rheims, C. A., & Indicatti, R. P. 2009. Aranhas (Arachnida) de Paranapiacaba. In: M. I. M. S. Lopes, M. Kirizawa & M. M. R. F. Melo (Eds.), *Patrimônio da Reserva Biológica do Alto da Serra de Paranapiacaba. A antiga Estação Biológica do Alto da Serra*. pp. 503–527. São Paulo: Instituto de Botânica.
- Brescovit, A. D., Bertani, R., Pinto-da-Rocha, R., & Rheims, C. A. 2004. Aracnídeos da Estação Ecológica Juréia-Itatins: inventário preliminar e história natural. In: Marques O. A. V. & Duleba, W. (Eds.), *Estação Ecológica Juréia-Itatins: ambiente físico, flora e fauna*. pp. 198–221. Holos: Ribeirão Preto Press.
- Brescovit, A. D., Oliveira, U., & Santos, A. J. 2011. Aranhas (Araneae, Arachnida) do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil: diversidade, esforço amostral e estado do conhecimento. *Biota Neotropica*, 11(1), 1–31. DOI: 10.1590/S1676-06032011000500035
- Cabra-Garcia, J., Chacón, P., & Valderrama-Ardila, C. 2010. Additive partitioning of spider diversity in a fragmented tropical dry forest (Valle del Cauca, Colombia). *Journal of Arachnology*, 38, 192–205. DOI: 10.1636/P09-68.1
- Chapin, F. S., Zavaleta, Erika S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L., Hooper, D. U., Lavorel, S., Sala, O. E., H., Sarah E., Mack, Michelle C., & Díaz, S. 2000. Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature*, 405, 234–242. DOI: 10.1038/35012241.
- Chatzaki, M., Markakis, G., & Mylonas, M. 2005. Phenological patterns of ground spiders (Araneae, Gnaphosidae) on Crete, Greece. *Ecologia mediterranea*, 31(1), 33–53. Retrieved from http://ecologia-mediterranea.univ-avignon.fr/wp-content/uploads/sites/25/2017/07/Ecologia_mediterranea_2005-31_1__02.pdf#page=33
- Coddington, J. A., Griswold, C. E., Dávila, D. S., Peñaranda, E., & Larcher, S. F. 1991. Designing and testing sampling protocols to estimate biodiversity in tropical ecosystems. In: E. C. Dudley (Eds.), *The Unity of Evolutionary Biology: Proceedings of the Fourth International Congress of Systematic and Evolutionary Biology*. pp. 44–60. Dioscorides: Portland University Press. DOI: 10.1007%2F978-94-015-7358-0
- Curtis, D. J. 1980. Pitfalls in spider community studies (Arachnida, Araneae). *Journal of Arachnology*, 8, 271–280. DOI: 10.1.1.538.6359&rep=rep1&type=pdf
- Dias, S. C., Brescovit, A. D., Couto, E. C. G., & Martins, C. F. 2006. Species richness and seasonality of spiders (Arachnida, Araneae) in an urban Atlantic Forest fragment in Northeastern Brazil. *Urban Ecosystems*, 9, 323–335. DOI: 10.1007/s11252-006-0002-7
- Duivenvoorden, J. F., Svenning, J. C., & Wright, S. J. 2002. Beta diversity in tropical forests. *Science*, 295, 636–637. DOI: 10.1126/science.295.5555.636
- Frick, H., Kropf, C., & Nentwig, W. 2007. Laboratory temperature preferences of the wolf spider *Pardosa riparia* (Araneae: Lycosidae). *Arachnology*, 14(1), 45–48. DOI: 10.13156/arac.2007.14.1.45
- Gray, C. L., Hill, S. L. L., Newbold, T., Hudson, L. N., Börger, L., Contu, S., Hoskins, A. J., Ferrier, S., Purvis, A., & Scharlemann, J. P. W. 2016. Local biodiversity is higher inside than outside terrestrial protected areas worldwide. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12306. DOI: 10.5519/0095544
- Gunnarsson, B. 2007. Bird predation on spiders: Ecological mechanisms and evolutionary consequences. *Journal of Arachnology*, 35, 509–529. DOI: 10.1636/RT07-64.1
- Indicatti, R. P., Lucas, S. M., Ott, R., & Brescovit, A. D. 2008. Litter dwelling mygalomorph spiders (Araneae: Microstigmatidae, Nemesiidae) from Araucaria forests in southern Brazil, with the description of five new species. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, 25, 529–546. DOI: 10.1590/S0101-81752008000300021
- Lopes, J., Santos, F. P., Marcal, V. V. M., Nunes, M. P. B., & Catelli, L. L. 2008. Araneofauna capturada na mata e área aberta adjacente, no norte do Paraná, Brasil. *Semina: Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde*, 29, 41–46. DOI: 10.5433/1679-0367.2008v29n1p41
- Lubin, Y. D. 1978. Seasonal abundance and diversity of web-building spiders in relation to habitat structure on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Journal of Arachnology*, 6, 31–51. DOI: jstor.org/stable/3705347
- Maack, R. 2012. *Geografia física do Estado do Paraná*.

- J. Olympio (Ed.). 4th ed. Ponta Grossa: Editora UEPG: p.526.
- Medeiros, J. D. D. 2005. Seleção de áreas para criação de Unidades de Conservação na Floresta Ombrófila Mista. *Biotemas*, 18(2), 33–50. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.5007/%25x
- Merrett P. 1967. The phenology of spiders on heath land in Dorset. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 36(2), 363–374. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1969.tb01704.x
- Messas, Y. F., Souza, H. S., Gonzaga, M. O., & Vasconcellos-Neto, J. 2017. Population dynamics of the bark-dwelling spider *Eustala perfida* Mello-Leitão, 1947 (Araneidae) *Journal of Natural History*, Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2017.1388859>
- Nogueira, A. A., Pinto-da-Rocha, R. & Brescovit, A. D. 2006. Comunidade de aranhas orbitelas (Araneae, Arachnida) na região da Reserva Florestal do Morro Grande, Cotia, São Paulo. *Revista de Biologia Neotropical*, 6(2), 1–24. DOI: 10.1590/S1676-06032006000200010
- O’Hara, R. B., & Kotze, D. J. 2010. Do not log-transform count data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 1, 118–122. DOI:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00021.x
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, R., O’Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., & Wagner, H. 2014. Package vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.2-0. Available at: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/> (accessed in 06 July 2018).
- Pecl, G. T., Araújo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I.-C., Clark, T. D., Colwell, R. K., Danielsen, F., Evengård, B., Falconi, L., Ferrier, S., Frusher, S., Garcia, R. A., Griffis, R. B., Hobday, A. J., Janion-Scheepers, C., Jarzyna, M. A., Jennings, S., Lenoir, J., Linnetved, H. I., Martin, V. Y., McCormack, P. C., McDonald, J., Mitchell, N. J., Mustonen, T., Pandolfi, J. M., Pettorelli, N., Popova, E., Robinson, S. A., Scheffers, B. R., Shaw, J. D., Sorte, C. J. B., Strugnell, J. M., Sunday, J. M., Tuanmu, M.-N., Vergés, A., Villanueva, C., Wernberg, T., Wapstra, E., & Williams, S. E. 2017. Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science*, 355(6332), eaai9214. DOI: 0.1126/science.aai9214
- Podgaiski, L. R., Ott, R., Rodrigues, E. N. L., Backup, E. H., & Marques, M. A. L. 2007. Araneofauna (Arachnida; Araneae) do Parque Estadual do Turvo, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Revista de Biologia Neotropical*, 7(2), 197–212. DOI: 10.1590/S1676-06032007000200023
- Polis, G. A., & Strong, D. R. 1996. Food web complexity and community dynamics. *The American Naturalist*, 147(5), 813–846. DOI: 10.1086/285880
- Prado-Junior, J. A., Schiavini, I., Vale, V. S., Arantes, C. S., van der Sande, M. T., Lohbeck, M., & Poorter, L. 2016. Conservative species drive biomass productivity in tropical dry forests. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(3), 817–827. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12543
- Prado-Junior, J., Schiavini, I., Vale, V. S., Raymundo, D., Lopes, S. F., & Poorter, L. 2017. Functional traits shape size-dependent growth and mortality rates of dry forest tree species. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 10(6), 895–906. DOI: 10.1093/jpe/rtw103
- R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Raub, F., Höfer, H., Scheuermann, L., & Brandl, R. 2014. The conservation value of secondary forests in the southern Brazilian Mata Atlântica from a spider perspective. *Journal of Arachnology*, 42, 52–73. DOI: 10.1636/P13-47.1
- Raymundo, D., Prado-Junior, J., Carvalho, F. A., Vale, V. S., Oliveira, P. E. & van der Sande, M. T. 2018. Shifting species and functional diversity due to abrupt changes in water availability in tropical dry forests. *Journal of Ecology*, 00, 1–12. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.13031
- Ricetti, J., & Bonaldo, A. B. 2008. Diversidade e estimativas de riqueza de aranhas em quatro fitofisionomias na Serra do Cachimbo, Pará, Brasil. *Iheringia, Série Zoologia*, 98(1), 88–99. DOI: 10.1590/S0073-47212008000100013
- Rodrigues, E. N. L. 2005. Fauna araneológica (Arachnida: Araneae) arborícola de duas áreas em uma mata de restinga no sul do Brasil. *Acta Biologica Leopoldensia*, 27(1), 73–92.
- Rodrigues, E. N. L. 2011. Composição e estrutura da fauna araneológica (Arachnida; Araneae) associado ao estrato arbóreo arbustivo de matas ciliares e seus microambientes no Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. Instituto de Biociências da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul. p. 246.
- Rodrigues, E. N. L., Rodrigues, P. E. S., & Mendonça

- Jr, M. S. 2016. Spider species composition in the tree-shrub strata of riparian forests and its microhabitats in southern Brazil. *Zoologia*, 33(3), e20150102. DOI: 10.1590/S1984-4689zool-20150102
- Romero, G. Q., & Vasconcellos-Neto, J. 2003. Natural history of *Misumenops argenteus* (Thomisidae): seasonality and diet on *Trichogoniopsis adenantha* (Asteraceae). *Journal of Arachnology*, 31, 297–304. DOI: 10.1636/02-19
- Scharff, N., Coddington, J. A., Griswold, C. E., Hormiga, G., & Bjorn, P. P. 2003. When to quit? Estimating spider species richness in a northern European deciduous forest. *Journal of Arachnology*, 31, 246–273.
- Spiller, D., & Schoener, T. 1988. An experimental study of lizards on web-spiders communities. *Ecological Monographs*, 58(2), 57–77. DOI: 10.2307/1942461
- Uetz, G. W. 1991. Habitat structure and spider foraging. In: Bell, S. S., McCoy, E. D. & Mushinsky, H. R. (Eds.), *Habitat structure: the physical arrangement of objects in space*. pp. 325–348. London: Chapman and Hall Press. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-011-3076-9
- Villanueva-Bonilla, G. A., & Vasconcellos-Neto, J. 2016. Population dynamics and phenology of the wall crab spider *Selenops cocheleti* Simon, 1880 (Araneae: Selenopidae) in Southeastern Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, 51(3), 215–230. DOI: 10.1080/01650521.2016.1234848
- Villanueva-Bonilla, G. A., Safuan-Naide, S., & Vasconcellos-Neto, J. 2018. Population dynamics and phenology of two congeneric and sympatric lynx spiders *Peucetia rubrolineata* Keyserling, 1877 and *Peucetia flava* Keyserling, 1877 (Oxyopidae). *Journal of Natural History*, 52(5–6), 361–376. DOI: 10.1080/00222933.2018.1433339
- Wise, D. H. 1993. *Spiders in Ecological Webs*. New York: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511623431.001

Submitted: 17 January 2018

Accepted: 07 September 2018

Published online: 07 September 2018

Associate Editor: Ana C. Delciellos

Appendix S1. Subset of all generalized linear mixed models based on the relationships between community attributes (abundance and richness of adult spiders, and abundance of immature spiders) and monthly mean temperature and monthly rainfall. Sampling method and transect as random effects, and models were fitted using a Poisson error distribution. When models were equally supported ($AIC \leq 2$), we selected the most parsimonious model (with lowest number of predictors). β = standardized coefficient; $AICc$ = corrected Akaike Information Criterion, df = degrees of freedom, $\Delta AICc$ = difference between the $AICc$ of a given model and that of the best model, Wt = Akaike weights.

Attributes	GLMM							
	Intercept	β Rainfall	β Mean Temperature	$AICc$	R^2	df	$\Delta AICc$	Wt
Adults abundance	2.797	-	0.434	523.1	0.58	4	0.00	0.706
	2.796	-0.026	0.453	524.8	0.58	5	1.75	0.294
	2.855	0.233	-	657.6	0.22	4	134.58	0.000
Adults richness	2.888	-	-	724.9	-	3	201.81	0.000
	2.129	-	0.302	358.0	0.41	4	0.00	0.760
	2.129	0.008	0.297	360.3	0.41	5	2.30	0.240
Immature abundance	2.157	0.177	-	389.8	0.15	4	31.79	0.000
	2.174	-	-	407.6	-	3	49.58	0.000
	3.893	-0.050	-0.159	982.4	0.22	5	0.00	0.794
	3.894	-	-0.182	985.1	0.22	4	2.70	0.206
	3.902	-0.136	-	1040.5	0.12	4	58.13	0.000
	3.907	-	-	1092.4	-	3	110.05	0.000